Public Document Pack



LEEDS SCHOOL FORUM

Meeting to be held in Civic Hall, Leeds on Thursday, 22nd February, 2018 at 4.30 pm

MEMBERSHIP

GOVERNORS		HEADTEACHER	RS		
Primary (6 seats)		Primary (7 seats	3)		
	t Church Upper Armley	Peter Harris	Farsley Farfield		
	Sue Knights Little London & Alwoodley		Valley View		
Gillian Simpson	Shakespeare	Julie Harkness	Carr Manor		
Sara Nix	Rawdon Littlemoor	Helen Stout	Meadowfield		
Deryn Porter		John Hutchinson	St Theresa's		
Ritchie Halls Wind	dmill Low Rd Federation	Claire Harrison	Wetherby Deighton Gates		
		Helen Stott	Allerton C of E		
Secondary (2 sea	,	Secondary (3 se	,		
Doug Martin	Pudsey Grangefield	Delia Martin	Benton Park		
Janice Rush	Allerton Grange	vacancy			
		vacancy			
Special (1 seat)		Special (1 seat)			
Amanda Jahdi	East SILC	Diane Reynard	East SILC		
Non School		Academies (8 seats)			
Peter Best	PVI Providers	David Gurney	Cockburn School		
Susan Knowles	PVI Providers	Mike Gidley	White Rose Academies Trust		
Patrick Murphy	Schools JCC	Ken Morton	Brigshaw LP MAT & Ashtree		
Richard Noake	Diocese of WY&Dales	Adam Ryder	Bruntcliffe Academy		
Colin Booth	16-19 Providers	Scott Jacques	Springwell Leeds Academy		
Angela Cox OBE	Catholic Diocese	John Thorne	Co-op Academy Priesthorpe		
		Emma Lester	Woodkirk Academy		
		To be confirmed			
		AP Academy			
		To be confirmed			
Apologies to Vick	i White	Tel: 0113 378 3594			
3rd Floor, St George House,40 Great		Email: vicki.white@leeds.gov.uk			
George Street, Le					
<u> </u>		L			

AGENDA

Item No	Tile	Lead	Time	Purpose
1.	APOLOGIES AND INTRODUCTIONS		16:30	For information
2.	MINUTES OF PREVIOUS MEETING 3 - 10		16:35	For decision
3.	MATTERS ARISING		16:40	For information
4.	2018/19 FREE EARLY EDUCATION ENTITLEMENT RATES See attached 11 - 16		16:50	For decision
5.	DEDICATED SCHOOLS GRANT 2017-18 - BUDGET MONITORING MONTH 10 See attached 17 - 22		17:20	For information
6.	DEDICATED SCHOOLS GRANT HIGH NEEDS BUDGET 2018/19 AND PROJECTED COSTS FOR FUTURE YEARS See attached 23 - 38		17:50	For information
7.	NEXT MEETING • March 22 nd 2018 (reserve) • June 7 th 2018		18:20	For information



LEEDS SCHOOLS FORUM Minutes of the Meeting held on Thursday 18 January, 2018 at Civic Hall (4.30PM – 6.30PM)

Membership (Apologies in Italics)					
GOVERNORS		HEADTEACHERS			
Primary (6 seats)		Primary (7 seats)			
Phil Hirst	Christ Church Upper Armley	Peter Harris	Farsley Farfield		
Sue Knights	Little London & Alwoodley	Sarah Griggs	Valley View		
Pete Riley	Whitecote	Julie Harkness	Carr Manor		
Gillian Simpson	Shakespeare	Helen Stout	Meadowfield		
Sara Nix	Rawdon Littlemoor	John Hutchinson	St Theresa's		
Vacancy		Claire Harrison	Wetherby Deighton Gates		
		Helen Stott	Allerton C of E		
Secondary (2 seats)		Secondary (3 seats)			
Doug Martin	Pudsey Grangefield	Delia Martin	Benton Park		
Janice Rush	Allerton Grange	Vacancy			
		Vacancy			
Special (1 seat)		Special (1 seat)			
Vacancy		Bridie Dorning	East SILC		
Non School		Academies (8 seats)			
Patrick Murphy	Schools JCC	David Gurney	Cockburn School		
Richard Noake	Diocese of WY & Dales	Mike Gidley	White Rose Academies Trust		
Angela Cox OBE	Catholic Diocese	Ken Morton	Brigshaw LP MAT & Ashtree		
Steve Kelly	Leeds City College	Adam Ryder	Bruntcliffe Academy		
Peter Best	PVI Providers	Scott Jacques	Springwell Leeds Academy		
Susan Knowles	PVI Providers	John Thorne	Co-op Academy Priesthorpe		
		Emma Lester	Woodkirk Academy		
		Vacancy			
		AP Academy			
		Samantha Campbell	The Elland Academy		
Local Authority Reps:					
Sue Rumbold, Chief Of	ficer Partnerships	Louise Hornsey, Principal Financial Manager			
Barbara Newton, Head	of Service Complex Needs	Simon Criddle, Head of Finance			
Tim Pouncey, Chief Officer Resources and Strategy,		Andy Humphries, ESFA	Observer		
Children and Families					
Steve Walker, Director	Children & Families				
Minutes:					
Mark Thompson, Leade	ershin Assistant				
main monipoon, Leade	nomp noolotunt				



Item		Action
1.0	Apologies & introductions	
1.1	Peter Harris (Chair) extended a warm welcome to members and observers, and formally introduced new academy representative Emma Lester (Woodkirk Academy). Apologies were noted.	
2.0	Minutes of the 5 October 2017 meeting	
2.1	The minutes were agreed as a true record.	
2.2	Item 2.2: In Andrew Eastwood's absence, PH asked whether any members wished to volunteer for the position of vice chair. Nobody volunteered, so the position remains vacant.	
2.3	Item 2.5: The Capita contract for SIMS licences is currently being looked into. Louise Hornsey reported that discussions are currently taking place with IT around costs and projection. LH will provide a further update at the next Schools Forum meeting.	LH
2.4	Item 4.4: Ken Morton (Brigshaw LP MAT & Ashtree) advised the minute should read Tom Goldman instead of Tom Riordan.	
2.5	Item 5.8: LH reported that a timetable has been drawn up for the review into how school deficit balances are managed. A report will be provided at the next Schools Forum meeting.	LH
2.6	Item 6.3: LH advised that pension costs for both teacher and the West Yorkshire Pension Fund come from the budget for schools in financial difficulty.	
3.0	Matters arising	
3.1	No items discussed.	
4.0	School Funding Arrangements 2018/19	
4.1	Louise Hornsey presented highlights of the '2018/19 School Funding Arrangements' report.	
4.2	The report provides a summary of the main issues. The local authority is not anticipating a significant variation from the position previously reported (£885k in-year overspend), though there may be variations within individual areas. A detailed budget monitoring report will be presented in February's meeting.	
4.3	In respect of the Growth Fund, Schools Forum was asked to consider the paper's proposals and: - approve the criteria for allocating funding from the Growth Fund (to retain the existing criteria as in 2017/18); - approve retaining £2.9m for Growth Funding from the Schools Block in 2018/19 (split between £2.61m for primary growth and £259k for secondary growth). (See 4.18 below for the voting results).	
4.4	LH advised that Growth Funding is paid to schools as a per pupil amount, with further funding available for existing schools that incur additional rental costs or for new schools with pre-	



opening staffing costs. The report includes a table that provides further details of the amounts payable.	CITY COUNCIL	
!	e that provides further details of the amounts	
4.5 Mike Gidley (White Rose Academies Trust) queried whether the Growth Fund split value between primary and secondary growth would change over time. LH advised it is likely and would be under review.	•	4.5
PH noted that the pre-opening allowance hasn't been used for many years and wondered whether this was to do with new schools being academies. LH advised the allowance would only be applicable to new schools the local authority wishes to set up.	cademies. LH advised the allowance would	4.6
PH drew attention to the high pre-opening costs of Springwell Leeds and questioned why they were so much higher than those outlined in the appendix to the report, which outlines the pre-opening staffing costs eligible for funding from the Growth Fund. Steve Walker advised the difference in funding is due to our addressing the inadequate provision that had previously been provided. A temporary provision had to be established as part of the set-up costs, which included securing temporary accommodation, etc. Tim Pouncey advised that Springwell's pre-opening costs were funded from the High Needs Block rather than the Schools Block. Members still felt the cost was extremely high and requested more TP/AE information.	the appendix to the report, which outlines from the Growth Fund. Steve Walker Idressing the inadequate provision that had had to be established as part of the set-up modation, etc. Tim Pouncey advised that the High Needs Block rather than the	4.7
In respect of the 2018/19 schools funding formula, LH advised that the local authority has now received confirmation that the ESFA has approved the two formula requests that were outstanding at the time the report was issued (as detailed in the report at 1.3.4 and 1.3.5). The 2018/19 funding figures in the appendices therefore reflect these formula adjustments. However, the figures are provisional until they have been verified by the ESFA and formally approved within the council.	proved the two formula requests that were detailed in the report at 1.3.4 and 1.3.5). herefore reflect these formula adjustments.	4.8
At the November 2017 meeting, Schools Forum supported the proposal that the 2018/19 school funding formula should move as close as possible to the national funding formula. The local authority advised it would update the individual school funding allocations once the ESFA had provided details of our final funding and the pupil numbers from the October 2017 census (note that these pupil numbers do not adjust for any reception pupils expected to start after October). This information has now been received and the proposed school level allocations for 2018/19 have been updated, which are provided in the appendix to the report.	possible to the national funding formula. The dual school funding allocations once the nd the pupil numbers from the October 2017 just for any reception pupils expected to start sceived and the proposed school level	4.9
4.10 In line with previous proposals, following these updates the local authority is still able to provide schools with a minimum per pupil funding increase of 0.5% compared to 2017/18 baselines. The decision on the final formula will be made by the Director of Children's Services, in line with the council's decision making framework.	g increase of 0.5% compared to 2017/18 pe made by the Director of Children's	4.10
PH queried whether the notional figure for cluster funding would be presented to schools as a separate line. LH advised that the local authority has not yet decided what information will be presented to schools in 2018/19. The decision will be made by the local authority, but Schools Forum's comments will be taken on board. There was support among members for including a separate line for cluster funding.	has not yet decided what information will be ill be made by the local authority, but	4.11
4.12 MG asked whether the allocations take account of movements between the Schools Block and High Needs Block, to which LH confirmed they do.		4.12
4.13 In respect of de-delegation, in October 2017 Schools Forum approved the proposals for de-	ools Forum approved the proposals for de-	4.13



delegation for maintained schools in 2018/19. At that time the local authority advised that the per-pupil costs provided were provisional based on the latest available data at that time, and would be updated in January 2018 if needed. Having recalculated the figures, LH confirmed that there will be no increases in the 2018/19 de-delegation rates per pupil which were provided to Schools Forum in October, and the local authority will be able to reduce the contribution in one case (the contingency fund) where the rate will reduce from £14.90 to £12.12. To achieve this, £300k from the de-delegated reserves will be used.

- 4.14 This use of reserves fulfils a commitment made in the November 2017 report to transfer back to maintained schools a proportion of any surplus on the de-delegated reserves, in order to partly account for the agreed severance contributions by maintained schools in 2018/19. LH advised that the local authority is making this adjustment through de-delegation contributions, rather than as a direct transfer to schools, because this is the most effective way for maintained schools to receive this within the regulations.
- In respect of the Central School Services Block, this has been introduced in 2018/19 to fund local authorities for the statutory duties they hold for both maintained schools and academies. It brings together:
 - funding previously allocated through the retained duties element of the Education Services Grant (ESG)
 - funding for ongoing central functions, such as admissions, previously top-sliced from the schools block
 - residual funding for historic commitments, previously top-sliced from the Schools Block

Schools Forum approval is required each year to confirm the amounts on each line. The amounts that were requested to be approved for 2018/19 are detailed in the report (see 4.18 below for the voting result).

- 4.16 At the November 2017 meeting, Schools Forum supported the proposal to transfer up to £500k to the High Needs Block. At that time the exact amount was subject to confirmation of the costs and funding relating to the Central School Services Block. LH reported that these figures have now been confirmed and the local authority is able to transfer the full £500k to the High Needs Block for 2018/19.
- In addition to this, at the November meeting it was agreed that maintained schools would contribute a total of £500k for 2018/19 towards the severance costs of maintained school staff, which are charged to the council. At the time the rate per-pupil was proposed to be £7.25, although this was subject to change once the latest data became available. LH confirmed to members that the charge will not change, and will remain at £7.25 per maintained school pupil.
- 4.18 <u>Decision:</u> Schools Forum was asked to vote on the following recommendations. Below are the recommendations and resultant votes:
 - In relation to the Growth Fund for 2018/19, Schools Forum was asked to approve: a) The proposed criteria.
 - b) The total Growth Fund of £2.9m (split between £2.61m for primary growth and £259k for secondary growth).

Schools Forum voted in favour of these.

- In relation to the Central School Services Block, Schools Forum was asked to



	CITY COUN	CIL
	approve the amounts summarised in the report for 2018/19 (apart from the ESFA central licence charge, which does not require approval). Schools Forum voted in favour of this.	
	Ochools I ordin voted in lavour of this.	
5.0	High Needs Block Review Update	
5.1	Sue Rumbold and Barbara Newton presented highlights of the report.	
5.2	Detailed discussion was had at the October 2017 meeting on the High Needs Block review and its recommendations. Schools Forum indicated broad agreement with the recommended measures, but requested that some additional information be made available, including more detailed modelling of some of the options.	
5.3	A further report was provided for the November 2017 meeting and was accompanied by a breakdown of projected individual school budgets to identify the impact of ceasing to automatically provide additional blocks of funding to supplement notional inclusion budgets in mainstream schools, and more detailed modelling of the various options for revising FFI unit sums. However, after further discussion of the proposal for changing the FFI unit value to £630, members suggested a preferred approach of changing the unit value to £600.	
5.4	There was also further discussion on the proposal to cease to provide additional funding to supplement notional inclusion budgets in mainstream schools. This included a proposal to raise the percentage of the notional inclusion budget to be spent by schools on individual blocks of £6k before they can access any additional blocks to 50% (as opposed to the current 40%).	
5.5	SR advised that the High Needs Block review working group has since undertaken further analysis as proposed, the findings of which are presented in the report and its appendices. The local authority believes these changes should now be implemented.	
5.6	PH remarked on the updated 2018/19 High Needs Block position and change in grant figure previously reported. Simon Criddle advised that putting the budget together is an ongoing process, as assumptions made around spend do change with circumstances. The funding figure confirmed in December for 2018/19 is £0.591m less than projected. The amount of funding we will receive going forward is uncertain, and beyond 2019/20 will be subject to a new spending review.	
5.7	Discussion was had on the proposal within the new formula to cap any increase to 3% year-on-year. SW reported on his intentions to make representations to the Department for Education (DfE) around the cap. There was support among members for SW to make such representations.	sw
5.8	Members said they would appreciate longer-term projections of how the deficit balance will be dealt with. SC advised that the five-year projection previously shared has not yet been updated to reflect the revised position for 2018/19. However, 2019/20 will be another difficult year, so this year's actions will most likely need to be replicated, including the FFI and notional block savings, £500k transfer from the Central School Services Block, and a further £2 million transfer from the Schools Block to the High Needs Block. By 2021/22, we should be in a position where we have repaid the deficit balance.	
5.9	KM queried whether a £2 million transfer from the Schools Block to the High Needs Block	



	E CITY COUN	CIL
	would be done after 2019/20. SC advised that we probably won't have the ability to do it after 2019/20.	
5.10	TP reported on discussions he and Andrew Eastwood are having with the DfE on the High Needs Block deficit balance and actions we are having to take to bring it back into balance before 2021/22. Schools Forum will be kept apprised of such discussions and any progress made.	
5.11	PH questioned why the deficit has to be repaid by 2021/22. SC advised that there are a number of considerations to take into account, including the overall local authority's reserves position. Therefore, we have put forward a sustainable plan around the High Needs Block and it takes account of the overall school balances position.	
5.12	KM expressed concerns about the risks of not being able to get a balanced in-year position.	
5.13	Members commented on the difficulties schools are facing with the cuts. Barbara Newton remarked that these issues are shared throughout the country.	
5.14	PH queried whereabouts the North West SILC deficit is recorded in the report, to which SC advised it is recorded in the 2017/18 column (against the Passported To Institutions line). Discussion followed on the size of the North West SILC deficit balance and its ongoing impact on other schools. Members also commented on the length of time the academisation process is taking. SW remarked on a period of due diligence and negotiations currently taking place ahead of academisation being completed. It is a very complex process, and we need to ensure we can provide the best quality of education possible. SW added that he appreciates the difficult position schools are in. Members still felt the cost was liable to increase and expressed frustration around this.	
5.15	KM remarked that we should go through each key assumption line by line, rather than focus on just one.	
5.16	MG commented on Leeds' delivery model being fairly unique. BN agreed that certain elements are, but there is also a lot of variation around the country. In Leeds, children and young people can access FFI without having an Education, Health and Care (EHC) Plan. This is a local choice and not something offered by all local authorities. Debate could be had on whether this is sustainable, given the pressures in the system. SW added that we may need to reconsider how things are done.	
5.17	PH highlighted there are some schools that are losing around 2% of their total budget, and queried whether the Minimum Funding Guarantee (MFG) protection would apply. (The MFG does not apply to High Needs funding for mainstream schools).	
5.18	John Thorne (Co-op Academy Priesthorpe) remarked on the benefit of knowing the bigger picture and the local authority keeping members/colleagues informed in order to better prepare for making (often difficult) decisions as a result of any impact.	
5.19	BN reported on the ongoing discussions taking place regarding Area Inclusion Partnership (AIPs). A new arrangement was trialled for 2017/18 which allocated funding for exceptional needs at £10k per place.	
5.20	Regarding AIP provision, PH queried where the £300k was for the 30 places that were	



5.21	commissioned but not provided, and asked whether it could be used to reduce the deficit balance. LH advised that, although technically the money has not been spent, there may be certain fixed costs and overheads to pay. She added that the local authority monitors AIPs with surplus balances. Members noted that £300k is a significant amount of money. SW advised it could potentially be used to offset the deficit if it becomes available. Schools Forum was requested to note the following actions to bring the High Needs Block back into balance for 2018/19: - The revision of the Funding for Inclusion (FFI) unit value to £600 (from the current £684). - The revision to the system of awarding of additional blocks of funding to mainstream schools to supplement their notional inclusion budget, where they have significant numbers of learners who have higher level support needs which will exceed costs of £6k, and who will be eligible for FFI. Currently, mainstream schools are asked to spend a minimum of 40% of their total notional inclusion budget on providing the blocks of the first £6k to meet the support needs of these learners, before any additional blocks of £6k to supplement their notional inclusion budget will be awarded. The proposed revision is to increase the minimum to 50% of the total notional inclusion budget.	SW
5.22	Discussion was had on Schools Forum giving further steer on modelling alternative options, as members felt more debate could be had. However, local authority reps felt a decision now had to be made on arrangements for the High Needs Block due to time constraints, and this is ultimately the local authority's decision to make. SW reiterated that it is the local authority's decision whether or not to proceed with the paper's recommendations. The process has been complex, but the local authority invited a steer and took the guidance of Schools Forum on board (e.g. regarding FFI). If additional alternatives were to be modelled, it would likely jeopardise the deadline for next financial year.	
5.23	PH proposed an indicative vote (on the recommendations at 5.21 above), though the final decision rested with the local authority. The results for both recommendations were: 7 people in favour; 1 against; and 4 abstentions.	
5.24	TP assured members that the impact of the changes to school budgets would be monitored throughout the year.	
6.0	AOB	
6.1	JT reported on a revision to the Universal Credit entitlement criteria for the Early Years Pupil Premium (EYPP) and Free School Meals (FSM), and suggested it is something to be mindful of.	
7.0	Masking datas	
7.0 7.1	Meeting dates 2017/18 academic year:	
'.1	• February 22, 2018	
	 March 22, 2018 (reserve) – it is unlikely this meeting will be needed June 7, 2018 	



Agenda Item 4



Report author: Viv Buckland

Tel: 3783588

Report of Head of Learning Systems

Agenda item 4

Report to Schools Forum

Date: February 2018

Subject: 2018/19 FEEE rates



Summary of main issues

- 1. Local authorities will continue to receive funding via the Early Years National Funding Formula in April 2018 to fund the Free Early Education Entitlement for 2, 3 and 4 year olds.
- 2. In line with the guidance the local authority is consulting with providers on the proposed rates for 2018/19 and this report sets out the proposed rates which will be determined following the conclusion of the consultation with providers.

Background information

- A consultation with providers commenced 5th February 2018. The consultation was sent to all providers in Leeds setting out proposals for the rates that would come into force from April 2018, alongside proposed changes to the contract for the funding between the Local Authority and providers. This consultation closed on 21st February 2018. The responses will be collated and analysed prior to determination of the funding arrangements.
- 2. It is a requirement that schools forums are also consulted on changes to local early years funding formulae by 28th February, although the final decision rests with the local authority. This paper sets out the proposals for the Leeds Early Years funding, and feedback is requested to inform final determination.
- 3. Schools Forum are required to agree the proposed allocation of the centrally retained element of the 3&4 Year Old funding

Main Issues

1. Method of allocation

1.1 The allocation for FEEE will be paid to Leeds City Council based on 5/12th of the 2018 spring term census and the 7/12th based on the 2019 spring term census. We have proposed that payments will be made to providers monthly to replace the existing 80% in advance 'estimate' payments and 20% 'actuals' adjustment at the end of term. The actual base rate funding providers receive under this monthly payment arrangement will be based on the number of children accessing the setting each month using a starter / leaver notification arrangement to support termly census headcounts. The supplement payments (SENDIF and

Deprivation Uplift) will continue to be paid in one lump sum payment to providers based on termly census return figures.

2. Funding for two year olds

- 2.1 The 2 year old FEEE funding rate paid to Leeds City Council remains unchanged at £5.20 for each eligible hour of entitlement. Previously providers have received £5.05 per hour of this allocation, with the remaining amount used to cover the annual shortfall in funding received as a result of it being allocated based on the Spring term places which has historically had the lowest numbers. Following a review of the take up of places the Council is able to pay providers an additional £0.05 for each eligible hour of entitlement from April 2018. The new funding rate for 18/19 will increase to £5.10 per hour up to a maximum of £2,907 per child per annum.
- 2.2 Initial responses from childcare providers have been positive and in favour of this proposed increase.

3. Funding for three and four year olds

3.1 It is proposed that the funding allocation received by Leeds City Council of £4.81 per hour per child is allocated as follows:

3&4s	Total received from DfE	Centrally retained	Deprivation supplement	SEND Inclusion fund	Base rate paid to providers
2017/18 (current)	£4.81	£0.24	£0.31	£0.06	£4.20
2018/19 (proposed)	£4.81	£0.20	£0.31	£0.05	£4.25

3.2 Centrally retained funding

This retained funding may not be used to administer the payments to providers, although it can be used for the eligibility checks that are required, and a wide range of central services or services in-kind.

- 3.3 In 2017/18 we retained 5% of the £4.81 funding allocated to Leeds City council, this was used to fund centrally retained services and also create a contingency. Our proposal is to reduce this central retention to 4% in 2018/19, as some of the initial startup and development support for 30 hours from central services will reduce.
- 3.4 The centrally retained element per pupil is proposed to reduce from £0.24 to £0.20 per hour, per child. This centrally retained funding will be allocated as follows:

	Services	17/18	18/19
		£	£
1	SENIT	480,000	450,000
2	Portage	120,000	140,000
3	Sensory Services	0	180,000

4	Education Psychology	140,000	50,000
5	Early Support & Inclusion	80,000	30,000
6	Family Information Service	220,000	100,000
7	Family Services	270,000	500,000
8	Sufficiency	60,000	40,000
9	Northpoint Wellbeing (Counselling)	50,000	60,000
10	Learning Improvement	240,000	220,000
11	Contingency	400,000	170,000
	Total	2,060,000	1,940,000

- 3.4.1 **Sensory Services.** This funding is allocated to support settings and families to meet the additional educational and developmental needs of children with visual and/or hearing impairment.
- 3.4.2 **Education Psychology.** Supporting Educational Psychology consultations and input to EHC assessments. The 18/19 funding is reduced as there were fewer requests for EHCP needs assessments for 2, 3 and 4 year olds in 17/18 than in previous years.
- 3.4.3 **Early Support & Inclusion.** This funding is allocated to provide management of the Early Years Funding for Inclusion team. More detailed information has been provided allowing the apportionment methodology to be adjusted. Based on this, along with reflection on the number of EHC plan assessments, the allocation for 2018/19 has been reduced.
- 3.4.4 **Family Information Service** This contributes to the costs of eligibility checks, advice and support, promotion and audit visits by the Family Information Service for all providers. Part of the 17/18 funding was for expenditure related to implementing the new systems for the additional hours, this one off element has been removed, reducing the allocation.
- 3.4.5 **Family Services** Family workers promoting take up of FEEE for all settings, supporting 3&4 year olds take up the early education entitlement and to attend provision, improving readiness for learning at 4/5 years old. The allocation has been increased to include Stay & Play parent and children learning sessions and Communication & Language work to further increase readiness for learning.
- 3.5 In all cases the above have been calculated to ensure the funding relates to eligible expenditure related to 3 & 4year olds and the figures updated where new service data was available. The DfE will continue to monitor local authority compliance with this.
- 3.6 Initial responses to this proposal are supportive.

4. Local Universal Base Rate

- 4.1 Currently the base rate for FEEE is £4.20 per hour, and it is proposed that we increase this to £4.25 per hour from April 2018. This will be an increase since the 2016/17 financial year of £0.45 per hour (the rate increased by £0.40 per hour from 16/17 to 17/18).
- 4.2 Other local authorities in our region were previously paying different rates to different types of providers, while in Leeds, all providers have been funded at the same rate. Under the funding guidance, all providers in an authority area must be paid at the same rate from April 2018 and as a result, many providers in neighbouring Local Authorities are reporting a cut in their base rate. Our proposed increase in base rate for all providers in Leeds has been

- received positively by our providers as a result, although some have also raised concerns about their increased costs not being covered by the small increase in base rate.
- 4.3 The funding rates for 2017/18 were based on predictions about take up and market behaviour. As we have now worked through the first term of the extended entitlement we have reflected on the take up and use of the funding elements. While the funding paid to the Local Authority has not increased, our proposal to increase the Leeds base rate to providers is achieved by making reductions to some other elements of the allocations from central government, including reducing the centrally retained element by £0.04, and the SEND Inclusion Fund by £0.01.
- 4.4 Initial responses to the proposal to increase the base rate in Leeds are positive, although some providers still feel that the rate is too low.

5. Supplements

- 5.1 There is a mandatory requirement for a supplement over the base rate for deprivation and we have proposed no changes to this deprivation supplement for 2018/19. There are some discretionary supplements that are permitted, however in Leeds we have only previously used deprivation and propose to continue only with this supplement.
- 5.2 The supplement for deprivation will be calculated using the Income Deprivation Affecting Children Index (IDACI) with all children receiving support if they are outside the 20% most affluent areas of the city. The funding will be banded so that children from areas of higher derivation receive more support. The majority of this funding will be paid at the beginning of the financial year based on the Summer term census of the previous year. As an interim measure for 17/18 and 18/19 a small element will be retained and be paid out at year end to take account of the providers who have offered additional hours.

6. SEND Inclusion Fund

- 6.1 This is also a mandatory supplement to be paid to providers where 3 and 4 year olds take up any number of hours of their free entitlement where the children have lower level or emerging SEND.
- 6.2 The rate set for the SEND Inclusion Fund was linked to the FFI Unit allocation paid for SEN as the SEND Inclusion Fund is for lower level SEND needs, it is important that the funding is also at a lower level than the FFI Unit allocation. Due to the proposed reduction in the FFI Unit value, we must also reduce the SEND Inclusion Fund payments from £2052 per year to £1800 per year. As such, we proposed to reduce the SEND Inclusion fund supplement from £0.06 to £0.05.
- 6.3 Changes have been made to the process for accessing this fund for 2018/19, to encourage more providers to take up the available funding to support the children in their setting appropriately.

6.4 Initial responses from providers agreed that this amount seemed reasonable and appropriate.

7. Other

7.1 The Disability Access Fund which is a fixed amount of £615 paid in accordance with national regulations although locally administered, remains with no change.

Recommendations

Members of Schools forum are asked to:

- 1. Comment on the funding proposals for the Early Years Funding.
- 2. Approve items 1 to 11 of the centrally retained funding identified in 3.4.



Agenda Item 5



Report of the Director of Children and Families Services

Report to the Leeds Schools Forum

Subject: Dedicated Schools Grant 2017-18 – Budget Monitoring Month 10

Report author: Simon Criddle (Head of Finance -Children and Contact telephone number: 07980

539225

Families)

Summary of main issues

- This report is to inform members of Schools Forum of the latest 2017-18 budget monitoring position on the Dedicated Schools Grant (DSG) as at the end of January 2018.
- 1.2 This report projects an underspend of £228k. This is made up of a significant overspend on the high needs block largely as a result of increased expenditure on SEN top-ups. This is partly offset by a projected underspend on the early years block and a small underspend on the schools block.
- 1.3 The projected underspend is an improvement of £1,113k compared to the November projections and is as a result of the expected delay in the special school academy conversion which means that the deficit will not be funded during 2017/18 but will be an additional cost in 2018/19.
- 1.4 Overall, the variance is analysed as follows:-

	January 2018 Projec		ection	ction November 2017 Pr		ojection	
	Estimated Funding	Projection	Variance	Estimated Funding	Projection	Variance	
	£000	£000	£000	£000	£000	£000	
Schools Block	317,772	317,112	(660)	319,148	318,762	(386)	
Early Years Block	52,275	49,972	(2,303)	51,053	49,088	(1,965)	
High Needs Block	57,199	59,934	2,735	57,242	60,478	3,236	
Total in year overspend / (underspend)	427,246	427,018	(228)	427,443	428,328	885	
Deficit reserve b/fwd from 2	2016/17		4,161			4,161	
Projected Deficit Balance @ 31/3/18			3,933			5,046	

2 **Background information**

At 1st April 2017, the balance of the DSG brought forward from the 2016/17 financial year was a deficit of £3.633m. Of this de-delegated services is a surplus of £528k leaving a deficit on general DSG of £4.161m. Page 17

2.2 Schools Forum have asked that actual spend to date is included in the budget monitoring information and this is now included in the individual tables. Some expenditure is paid in advance (such as the individual schools budget), some is paid monthly while others are paid in arrears (either quarterly, termly or yearly).

3 Schools Block

3.1 The majority of the Schools Block is allocated to primary and secondary schools (ISB), with smaller amounts for de-delegated services and a central provision. These budgets are subject to fluctuations in expenditure throughout the year. The projected costs and variances are summarised below:-

	Budget	Projection	Variance	Actual To Date
	£000	£000	£000	£000
DSG Grant Due	(324,708)	(317,772)	6,936	(266,476)
Individual Schools Budget	311,768	304,609	(7,159)	303,509
De-delegated services	5,039	5,081	42	3,462
Central Provision				
- Equal Pay costs	1,037	1,037	0	864
- Growth Fund	2,900	2,500	(400)	2,267
- Former ESG Retained Duties	1,724	1,724	0	1,437
- All other central provision costs	2,240	2,203	(37)	1,770
Transfer de-delegated overspend to reserve		(42)	(42)	0
Total Schools Block (General)	0	(660)	(660)	46,833

(note: a bracketed figure is an underspend and a positive figure an overspend)

- 3.2 When a school becomes an academy funding payments are made directly by the Education and Skills Funding Agency (ESFA). For Leeds City Council this means that there is a reduction in grant income which is matched by reduced expenditure.
- 3.3 Due to slippage in planned places there is expected to be an underspend of £400k on the Growth Fund. However, it is expected that the costs slipped from 2017/18 will be incurred during 2018/19 resulting in a potential pressure in that year. There are also a number of minor underspends on other central provision budgets.
- 3.4 The projected overspend on de-delegated services is largely due to increasing maternity pay costs above normal levels and a small increase in Capita charges for the SIMS licence which is largely offset by a reduction in claims to the contingency fund. This means that de-delegated budgets are now predicted to be overspent by £42k, though this will be met from reserves and the overall dedelegated reserve is now expected to be £486k at the end of 2017/18. At Schools Forum in January it was reported that £300k of this would be utilised during 2018/19 to reduce de-delegated costs in that year.
- 3.5 A query was previously raised at Schools Forum about the increase in dedelegated SIMS licence costs for primary schools in 2018/19. Schools Forum approved a 2018/19 de-delegated rate of £4.30 per pupil for primary schools, compared to a 2017/18 rate of £3.86 per pupil. This appeared to be a large change, however we can confirm it represents the first increase passed directly on to schools in two years, as 2017/18 rates did not increase compared to

2016/17. This has contributed to the difference in SIMS costs for 2017/18 noted in 3.3 above, although some variance would also be expected as ESFA deadlines require us to estimate the de-delegated SIMS costs based on the October pupil census, whereas the actual licences purchase from Capita are based on the January pupil census.

3.6 The council's ICT department agrees costs in advance with Capita around February each year, and as part of this they contact schools to find out whether they still wish to have licences. All schools are able to source an alternative system if they require, and it would be possible from 2019/20 to recharge primary schools individually for SIMS licence costs rather than requiring all primary schools to contribute through de-delegation. As de-delegation is unlikely to be possible from 2020/21 due to the national funding formula changes, it is expected that schools will need to move to this charging method by this date.

4 Early Years Block

4.1 The projected costs and variances within the Early Years block are summarised below:-

	Budget	Projection	Variance	Actual To Date
	£000	£000	£000	£000
DSG Grant Due	(50,233)	(52,275)	(2,042)	(42,403)
FEEE 3-4YO school nurseries (EYB)	19,891	18,052	(1,839)	12,261
FEEE 3-4YO CCs & PVI (EYB)	18,780	21,686	2,906	15,712
FEEE 2YO (EYB) - school nurseries & PVI	5,465	5,316	(149)	4,688
FEEE 2YO (EYB) - children's centres	2,800	2,507	(293)	2,089
SEN Inclusion Fund	515	75	(440)	63
Early Years Pupil Premium	552	506	(46)	221
Disability Access Fund	172	172	0	0
Early Years Centrally Retained Funding	2,058	1,658	(400)	1,382
Total Early Years Block	0	(2,303)	(2,303)	(5,987)

(note: a bracketed figure is an underspend and a positive figure an overspend)

- 4.2 Due to the way Early Years is funded (with the final grant income based on the pupil numbers as at January 2017 and January 2018) there is a difference between the number of places funded and the number of places paid to providers. Some of these savings may be non-recurring depending on pupil numbers at the January census.
- 4.3 For 2 year olds the January census has usually been the lowest of the year and in order to not overspend this budget, the amount paid to providers is £5.05 an hour while the funding is £5.20 per hour to compensate for this. However, the actual pupil numbers in 2016/17 and the projected pupil numbers for 2017/18 suggest that this will not be the case this year. This means that it is now expected that there will £123k more income than expenditure resulting in a saving in 2017/18.
- 4.4 For 3 and 4 year olds the funding received is effectively for more places than the payments made to providers. The projection is that there will be £1,340k more funding than expenditure. Based on details received in October there has been a significant take up of the additional 15 hours offer for working parents in the

- private, voluntary and independent sector, though this is partly offset by a lower than expected take up in schools. Projected expenditure and income has been increased as a result.
- 4.5 In addition, part of the funding was allocated to specific areas. Of these, the SEN Inclusion Fund has received fewer applications for funding than expected producing a projected underspend of £440k. To date, there has been no call on the early year's contingency fund and so this is expected to be £400k underspent.
- 4.6 Although there is a significant underspend in Early Years, within the High Needs Block there is a projected overspend of £315k on Early Years Funding for Inclusion.

5 High Needs Block

5.1 The projected costs and variances within the High Needs block are summarised in the table below:-

	Budget	Projection	Variance	Actual To Date
	£000	£000	£000	£000
DSG Grant Due	(56,776)	(57,199)	(423)	(45,998)
Funding Passported to Institutions				
- SILC and Resource Provision Places	9,761	10,389	628	9,885
- Deficit SILC balances	300	0	(300)	0
 Outside and external residential placements 	6,628	7,178	550	4,908
- AIP Partnerships	4,327	4,956	629	4,741
- SEN Top-ups to Institutions	27,289	29,579	2,290	24,635
 Mainstream additional places (£6k blocks) 	1,000	938	(62)	716
 Mental Health beds for adolescents 	0	200	200	149
- SEMH set up costs	0	550	550	275
Commissioned Services				
- Hospital & Home Tuition	1,294	1,295	1	578
- PD & Medical Service	94	94	0	0
Children's Services				
- Autism support (STARS)	393	393	0	288
 Children missing out on education 	257	257	0	157
- Management of AP	105	105	0	88
- SEN adaptations	141	141	0	110
- SEN Inclusion Team	1,773	1,214	(559)	491
- Sensory Service	2,328	2,328	0	1,610
- Teenage pregnancy	214	214	0	209
 Virtual school (Children Looked After) 	103	103	0	83
Other items				
- Contribution to reserves	769	0	(769)	0
Total High Needs Block	0	2,735	2,735	2,925

(note: a bracketed figure is an underspend and a positive figure an overspend)

5.2 Following receipt of updated DSG allocations the academy recoupment is lower than expected though there are some increases in deductions for provision paid directly by the ESFA. In addition, a further £18k has been added to the allocation to specifically fund increased hospital costs giving an increase in funding of £423k.

- 5.3 The SILC academy conversion is now not expected to take place before the end of this financial year. As a result the expected deficit on conversion to academy status will not need to be funded until 2018/19. At the moment that deficit stands at approximately £1.2m, though this could increase if there are further delays in the conversion process.
- 5.4 There have been ongoing discussions with the Area Inclusion Partnerships around sustainable budgets for 2017/18 to enable them to continue to make provision for children with exceptional social, emotional and mental health needs. The budgets that have been agreed, together with some claw-back of reserves, will result in a saving of £1.2m rather than the £1.8m that was proposed.
- 5.5 SEN top-ups to institutions continues to be the largest area of overspend, largely as a result of increased demand and complexity of cases. However, the projected overspend of £2.290m also includes increased funding for post 16 5 day provision (£194k) and Early Years FFI (£315k).
- 5.6 There are a number of charges from private hospitals for the education provision for mental health hospital beds for young people. Work has taken place to establish responsibilities and reduce the cost of these charges. As a result, the additional cost is projected to be £200k per year rather than the £350k initially estimated.
- 5.7 Additional costs of approximately £550k are anticipated for the new SEMH provision, largely for staffing set up costs for the new sites opening in 2017/18.
- 5.8 The outside placements and external residential placements budget is now expected to be £550k overspent. Although a number of outside placement pupils have been brought back into Leeds, it has not been possible to reduce the costs sufficiently to prevent this overspend. In addition, increased numbers of specialist education and residential placements have resulted in further costs on this budget.
- 5.9 As reported to Schools Forum in February, the Early Years Block is now funding some of the SENIT and Portage costs, freeing up £600k high needs funding.
- 5.10 The budget was set with an assumption that there would be a contribution of £769k towards the deficit reserve brought forward from 2016/17. As a result of the overspends detailed above, this specific contribution will not now be made.

6 2017-18 Reserves

6.1 The 2017-18 estimated DSG funding has been adjusted for expected changes in the various blocks, though the final amount will not be confirmed by the Education and Skills Funding Agency until later in the year. The table below shows the expected position as at 31st March 2018.

	General	De-delegated	Total
	£000	£000	£000
Balance b/fwd from 2016/17	4,161	(528)	3,633

Projected deficit (surplus) balance at 31/3/18	3,933	(486)	3,447
- High Needs Block	2,735		2,735
- Early Years Block	(2,303)		(2,303)
- Schools Block	(660)	42	(618)

7 Review of deficit balance arrangements

- 7.1 A review has been started of the arrangements relating to school deficit balances. This work is ongoing but at this stage we are intending to review the existing deficit action plan template, introduce further monitoring and reporting, and identify additional support and challenge we can provide to schools.
- 7.2 Annual reporting to Schools Forum already includes details of school balances, and these are reported in June each year following the closedown of accounts. In line with this the 2017/18 closing balances will be reported at the June 2018 Schools Forum meeting, where we will also provide a further update on the review of deficit balance arrangements.

8 Recommendations

8.1 Schools Forum is requested to note the projected underspend on DSG of £228k which will reduce the deficit on the DSG brought forward from 2016/17. This will make the current projected cumulative deficit £3,933k, with projected dedelegated surplus balances standing at £486k.

Agenda Item 6



Report of the Director of Children and Families Services

Report to the Leeds Schools Forum

Subject: Dedicated Schools Grant High Needs Budget 2018/19 and

Projected Costs for Future Years

Report author: Simon Criddle Contact telephone number: 07980

(Head of Finance – Children and 539225

Families)

1 Summary of main issues

- 1.1 This report is to inform members of Schools Forum of the 2018/19 budget for the High Needs Block following recent work identifying pressures and potential savings as reported to Schools Forum in recent months.
- 1.2 The budget for 2018/19 has been set within the estimated income available and budgets to contribute £895k towards the deficit brought forward.
- 1.3 This report also provides further information on the latest projected grant income and costs for the years 2019/20 to 2021/22. There are risks around the assumptions made in future years, particularly after 2019/20 as no guidance has been received from the Government on grant allocations.

2 2018/19 Budget

- 2.1 The budget for 2018/19 has been set based on the High Needs Review report taken to Schools Forum in January 2018. A more detailed analysis of the budget is included at Appendix 1.
- 2.2 The Education and Skills Funding Agency (ESFA) issued a draft DSG allocation in December 2017 which has been used as the basis for the calculation of the grant due for 2018/19. However, there are two elements of the grant calculation that have not yet been issued. The impact of these elements has been estimated based on the information currently available, but these figures could change.
- 2.3 Work is continually ongoing to ensure that the impact of the most up to date information is included in the budget and in order to produce a balanced budget, the following actions have been included:-
 - Increased funding of £2m as a result of a transfer from the Schools Block
 - Increased funding of £500k as a result of a transfer from the Central School Services Block
 - Remove High Needs Block funding for the Teenage Pregnancy Service
 - Savings of £492k from increasing the threshold before additional blocks of £6k can be applied for from 40% to 50%

- Savings of £1.5m from decreasing the unit rate on FFI from £684 per unit to £600 per unit
- 2.4 In light of concerns raised at Schools Forum of the impact of the proposed savings on schools with significant numbers of SEN pupils, the council is proposing to mitigate this by applying transitional protection to limit individual school reductions in 2018/19 to 1.5% of the sum of baseline and high needs funding. Capping losses in this way is estimated to reduce the savings by £62k. Appendix 2 shows the impact of this based on estimated high needs funding for 2017/18. The actual allocation will be made once the final high needs funding is known at the end of this financial year, though it is not expected to be significantly different. This additional cost in 2018/19 will be funded by reducing the contribution to repay the deficit.
- 2.5 Regular budget monitoring reports will be brought to Schools Forum during 2018/19 to measure performance against these budgets and to assess any potential impact on future years.

3 Projected High Needs Grant Income 2019/20 to 2021/22

- 3.1 The ESFA have issued an indicative grant allocation for 2019/20. However, most of the data included in the final allocation is still to be updated and confirmed. The income figures also included in Appendix 1 has estimated the impact of as many of those changes as possible, but it is not possible to be completely accurate on this as the information is not available.
- 3.2 Following confirmation of growth funding calculation for 2018/19, future estimates of growth funding have been reduced accordingly.
- 3.3 In addition, every effort has been made to estimate the impact of place funding deductions made by the ESFA before the final grant due figure is calculated. However, there is a risk that deductions are higher.
- 3.4 A Comprehensive Spending Review is due to be completed during 2019/20 and as a result, no grant estimates have been issued by the ESFA beyond then. The projected grant figures are therefore subject to change, but have been calculated assuming that the current funding policy does not change.

4 Projected High Needs Expenditure 2019/20 to 2021/22

- 4.1 The projected costs for future years has been produced taking into account a general increase in population based on information from the Office of National Statistics and an additional increase of 50 high needs places per year.
- 4.2 The potential full year effect of the savings implemented in 2018/19 has now been included in the initial projections for future years. However, as with the 2018/19 budget, there are significant risks around the assumptions made and given the time period involved, there could be a greater variation in the actual costs.
- 4.3 These estimates assume that there will be a contribution of approximately £1m each year to contribute toward the accumulated deficit brought forward from previous years.

4.4 The estimates also assume that there will be a further transfer of funding in 2019/20. This is subject to further consultation and approval.

5 Overall Position

- 5.1 Appendix 1 shows the current estimated shortfall or surplus in the High Needs Block and the impact on the overall deficit reserve.
- 5.2 In summary, the current estimates show:-
 - As a result of the savings options implemented in 2018/19, it is estimated that 2019/20 is balanced (subject to the approval of a further transfer). However, should any of the details included in these calculations change, updates will be provided to Schools Forum.
 - 2020/21 is currently projected to have a shortfall of over £600k, though as a Comprehensive Spending Review is expected before that date, this could change significantly.
 - 2021/22 is currently projected to have an in-year surplus, which has been assumed to be utilised to repay more of the deficit reserve.

6 Recommendations

6.1 Schools Forum is requested to note the High Needs Block budget for 2018/19 and the projected shortfall or surplus for the years 2019/20 to 2021/22.



ANALYSIS OF DSG HIGH NEEDS BLOCK 2016/17 TO

	2016/17 Actual £000	2017/18 Original Estimate £000	2017/18 Projection £000	2018/19 Original Estimate £000	2019/20 Estimate £000	2020/21 Estimate £000	2021/22 Estimate £000
DSG Funding On High Needs							
High Needs Block baseline	57,919	60,750	60,746	66,338	68,865	71,772	74,865
Growth funding and other adjustments	882	2,604	1,903	500	0	0	0
Places funded directly by the ESFA	(3,704)	(8,595)	(7,450)	(9,038)	(9,584)	(9,584)	(9,584)
Funding transferred from Schools Block	0	2,000	2,000	2,000	0	0	0
Funding transferred from Central School Services Block				500			
Total High Needs Block grant	55,097	56,759	57,199	60,300	59,281	62,188	65,281
Funding Passported To Institutions							
SILC and Resource Provision Places	10,474	9,761	10,389	10,169	10,581	11,201	11,822
Deficit SILC balances	729	300	0	0	0	0	0
Outside placements and external residential placements	7,195	6,628	7,178	6,283	5,818	5,628	5,838
Alternative Provision and AIP's	6,012	4,327	4,956	5,256	5,256	5,256	5,256
SEN Top-ups to Institutions	26,681	27,289	29,579	30,666	31,775	32,252	32,825
Mainstream additional places (£6k blocks)	2,316	1,000	938	424	475	525	525
Education provision for mental health beds		0	200	200	200	200	200
Total Passported To Institutions	53,407	49,305	53,240	52,998	54,105	55,062	56,466
Commissioned Services managed on behalf of LCC							
Hospital & Home Tuition	1,278	1,277	1,295	1,484	1,499	1,514	1,529
PD & Medical Service	94	94	94	65	67	69	71
Total Commissioned Services	1,372	1,371	1,389	1,549	1,566	1,583	1,600

ANALYSIS OF DSG HIGH NEEDS BLOCK 2016/17 TO

2016/17	2017/18	2017/18	2018/19	2019/20	2020/21	2021/22
Actual	Original	Projection	Original	Estimate	Estimate	Estimate
	Estimate		Estimate			
£000	£000	£000	£000	£000	£000	£000

		2016/17 Actual	2017/18 Original	2017/18 Projection	2018/19 Original	2019/20 Estimate	2020/21 Estimate	2021/22 Estimate
		£000	Estimate £000	£000	Estimate £000	£000	£000	£000
	Directly Managed By Children & Families							
	Autism support	393	393	393	413	423	433	443
	Children missing out on education	263	257	257	257	261	265	269
	Management of AP	105	105	105	110	112	114	116
	SEN adaptations	149	141	141	141	141	141	141
	SEN Inclusion Team	770	1,773	1,214	1,206	1,232	1,258	1,284
	Sensory Service	2,343	2,328	2,328	2,177	2,223	2,269	2,315
	Teenage pregnancy	219	214	214	0	0	0	0
	Virtual school (Children Looked After)	110	103	103	108	110	112	114
	Total Directly Managed by Children & Families	4,352	5,314	4,755	4,412	4,502	4,592	4,682
	Other Costs							
	SEMH set up costs	718	0	550	395	0	0	0
ָם כ	SEMH prudential borrowing costs	0	0	0	0	558	558	558
2	Contribution to reserves	0	769	0	946	1,049	1,000	1,975
၁ ၁	Total Other Costs	718	769	550	1,341	1,607	1,558	2,533
	Total High Needs Block Expenditure	59,849	56,759	59,934	60,300	61,780	62,795	65,281
	In Year Shortfall (Surplus)	4,752	0	2,735	0	2,499	607	0
	One off impact of deficit SILC balance				1,200			
	Cumulative DSG Deficit	4,161		3,933	4,187	5,637	5,244	3,269
	Options to reduce deficit							
	 transfer from schools block transfer from central school services block other savings / increased funding to be identified 					(2,000) (499)	(607)	
	Total potential savings					(2,499)	(607)	0
	Revised In Year Shortfall (Surplus)	4,752	0	2,735	0	0	0	0
	Revised DSG deficit if all options fully implemented	4,161		3,933	4,187	3,138	2,138	163

Comments

Based on latest information from DfE on how High Needs Block will be calculated. Also takes into account proposed cap on gains.

Growth funding is in the baseline calculation from 2019/20 onwards.

Phased increase in SEMH places and significant increase in post 16 places.

Assumes £2m transfer from Schools is non-recurrent.

Assumes £500k transfer from CSSB is non-recurrent.

Based on additional 150 places by 2021. These projections could change depending on a decision over what settings these places will be in. As per the NFF funding model, basic entitlement funding for pupils in resourced provision will be funded from the schools block. Costs have therefore been reduced correspondingly.

Latest conversion date March 18, may slip into 2018-19.

Outside placements based on projected number of placements each year while external residential placements is based on edcuation costs of specialist education and residential placements.

Based on May 2017 funding letter - no further reductions currently projected.

Based on forecast population growth and increase in number of pupils identified with SEND (per Complex Needs Service), plus additional 10 RP places from September 2018, plus increase in pupils at SEMH provision. Also includes 5 day provision for post 16 at SILCs for 3 years, & additional 150 places by 2021. Estimated future years costs have been reduced to reflect a reduction in the FFI unit rate.

Future years estimates have been reduced to reflect the continuing effect of the 2018/19 saving.

Following negotiations with providers projected costs have reduced compared to the invoices initially received.

This funding is largely a specific element within the calculation of the DSG income due. The projected costs match the income allocated.

Reduction in commissioned service costs.



Comments

Comments

Increase for pay award (at 2%) and superannuation increase. Increase for pay award (at 2%) and superannuation increase. Increase for pay award (at 2%) and superannuation increase. No change to budget.

Increase for pay award (at 2%) and superannuation increase. Increase for pay award (at 2%) and superannuation increase. Removed from DSG funding.

Increase for pay award (at 2%) and superannuation increase.

Payments to SEMH provision for set up costs.

Prudential borrowing costs for building of new SEMH provision - delayed to 2019/20.

Contribution to reduce deficit reserve.

Conversion to academy status now expected during 2018/19.

Further consultation would be required if a transfer is required in 2019/20. Fall out of equal pay borrowing costs.

Savings or increased funding to be identified to balance.

Schools sorted by their difference in funding if blocks of £6k threshold changed to 50% & FFI unit value reduced to £600

No.	Colored Manage	Resourced	NOR (See	High Nee	ds Pupils FFI	in receipt of	Baseline Funding	Of Which: Notional SEN	Estimated Funding for	Total SEN	Reduce FFI unit	Reduction if threshold increased	Total	As a percentage of	As a	Reduced saving if	Revised
10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10	School Name	Unit	note 1)							Funding 2017/18	value to £600		Potential Reduction		percentage of Total Budget		Potential Reduction
10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10	Early Years Providers					, ,											
Margin Carlot Margin Carlo	Private, Independent & Voluntary Settings			115	100	84	ſ	£0	£672,570	£672,570	-£82,596		-£82,596				-£82,596
Part				 			Ī	£0									-£68,544
Authorization Principle Control (1977) Author	Childrens Centre's - Non Maintained			15	8			£0	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·				· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·				-£10,653
Section From Store 1979 79 70 72 75 75 75 75 75 75 75	SUB TOTALS			237	166	174		£0	£1,317,456	£1,317,456	-£161,793	£0	-£161,793				-£161,793
Section From Store 1979 79 70 72 75 75 75 75 75 75 75	Maintained Primary Schools						_										
Part			397	28	19	22	£1.662.089	£209.044	£175.300	£384.344	-£16.800	-£24.000	-£40.800	-10.62%	-2.22%	£13.239	-£27.561
Application 1419	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		—					· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·							-		
Application	·								· ·	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·							
Long Markey School		Υ						· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·							+		
Outford Primary School 417 15 13 14 14 14 15 13 14 14 14 14 14 14 14	·	'							· ·				-				
Thomse Primary School 256 66 12 15 63927.20 2016 8 12.51.60 12.61.70 1				15				· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·							+		
	·			16													
Sewdon Historic Primary School 920 9 12 12 12 12 12 12 12							·			· ·							
Modelse for infrares School Y 428 19 21 19 51.972.138 2290.376 51.9828 2390.100 41.13490 42.000 42.04490 5.4494 1.0270 20 42.000	·	ı ı		20											+		·
whitesceed primary school 9382 16 21 15 15.61 sell. 15.61 se			-	10				•		·							
200 200		T		19											-		
1	·			10	40	-		•									
Columber	·		-	11	10	11	·										
Beithed Care Primary School 266 12 9 586,191	, ,			11	8	8		•					· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·				
1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1	·			12	10	10				-						-	
Peach of the Primary School 331 12 15 11 1334.602 £151.404 £89.352 £240.846 £10.052 £7.500 £17.552 7.20% £12.20% £10.052 £10	·			·	9	9	· ·		,								
eacord Crange Primary School	,			11	11	11									+		
reland Wood Primary School Y 413 24 22 23 23 51.653.010 517.974 534.686 529.840 -15.016 52.024 -15.016 52.024 -15.016 52.024 -15.016 52.024 -15.016 52.024 -15.016 52.024 -15.016 52.024 -15.016 52.024 -15.016 52.024 -15.016 52.024 -15.016 52.024 -15.016 -15.016 -15.024 -15.024	·			12				· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·							-		
Meanway School 10 8 11 8802,480 F50,283 E111,318 E161,881 F50,885			206	11			•						· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		-		
Deemsway Primary School 182 15 13 12 2759.200 277.887 £117.468 £195.355 £92.268 £80.000 £11.268 7.82% 1.174% £2.118 £11.268	·	Y	413	24	23			· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·							-	-	
Voodletch'd Primary School	·		-	10	8												
Aeadownfell Primary School 412 20 17 17 £1,819,993 £267,244 £117,192 £384,386 £14,392 £0 £14,392 £3,74% .0.75% £0 £14,382 £3,74% .0.75% £0 £14,382 £3,94% £15,94% £3,95% £13,96% £15,94% £3,95% £13,96%				15	13	12	-	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·					<u> </u>		-		-£13,150
install Valley Primary School	·				8	8							· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·				
Lebin Hood Primary School 365 7 6 6 E1252844 E80.054 E50.794 E130.848 -E5.194 E8.6500 E13.650 -E13.650 -	Meadowfield Primary School			20	17	17							<u> </u>				-£14,392
Colton Primary School 211 9 8 9 £775,415 £50,171 £88,596 £138,767 £71,96 £60,000 £13,196 .9.51% .1.53% £236 £12,96 £13,967 £13,967 £13,967 £13,196 .9.51% .1.53% £236 £12,96 £13,967 £13	Kirkstall Valley Primary School			8	9	11			· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·				· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·				-£14,288
trawberry Fields Primary School	Robin Hood Primary School		365	7	6	6	£1,252,844	£80,054	£50,794	£130,848	-£5,194	-£8,500	-£13,694	-10.47%	-1.05%		-£13,694
ane End Primary 211 4 8 8 8 E1.010.127 E96.455 E54.880 E151.335 F25.880 F27.000 F21.880 F27.0	Colton Primary School		211	9	8	9	£775,415	£50,171	£88,596	£138,767	-£7,196	-£6,000	-£13,196	-9.51%	-1.53%	£236	-£12,960
harp Lane Primary School 506 15 13 16 51,908,114 £1,94,690 £103,512 £298,202 £12,712 £0 -£12,712 4.26% -0.63% £0 -£12,712 hankside Primary School 625 16 14 20 £2,602,837 £311,082 £98,843 £407,925 £11,893 £0 -£1	Strawberry Fields Primary School		309	6	9	9	£1,134,550	£109,137	£57,274	£166,411	-£6,174	-£7,000	-£13,174	-7.92%	-1.11%	£0	-£13,174
Rankside Primary School 625 16 14 20 £2,602,837 £311,082 £96,843 £407,925£11,893 £0£11,893£11,844	Lane End Primary		211	4	8	8	£1,010,127	£96,455	£54,880	£151,335	-£5,880	-£7,000	-£12,880	-8.51%	-1.21%		-£12,880
Vindmill Primary School 421 14 16 16 16 18 11 9 11 11 9 11 11	Sharp Lane Primary School		506	15	13		£1,908,114	£194,690	£103,512	£298,202	-£12,712	£0	-£12,712	-4.26%	-0.63%	£0	-£12,712
Section Sect	Bankside Primary School		625	16	14	20	£2,602,837	£311,082	£96,843	£407,925	-£11,893	£0	-£11,893	-2.92%	-0.44%	£0	-£11,893
hire Oak C of E Primary School 207 8 9 9 10 £842,478 £71,295 £67,460 £138,755 £27,784 £35,00 £11,460 \$-8.26% \$-1.26% £0 \$	Windmill Primary School		421	14	16	16	£1,878,215	£243,874	£96,444	£340,318	-£11,844	£0	-£11,844	-3.48%	-0.60%	£0	-£11,844
Arroadgate Primary School	Talbot Primary School		452	11	9	11	£1,511,938	£109,079	£70,931	£180,010	-£8,281	-£3,500	-£11,781	-6.54%	-0.74%	£0	-£11,781
Alwoodley Primary School	Shire Oak C of E Primary School		207	8	9	9	£842,478	£71,295	£67,460	£138,755	-£5,460	-£6,000	-£11,460	-8.26%	-1.26%	£0	-£11,460
Vestgate Primary School 212 7 6 6 6 £781,638 £62,085 £51,322 £113,407 -£4,522 -£6,000 -£10,522 -9.28% -1.26% £0 -£10,522 -	Broadgate Primary School		271	6	9	10	£1,136,537	£123,372	£66,884	£190,256	-£7,784	-£3,500	-£11,284	-5.93%	-0.94%	£0	-£11,284
Vestgate Primary School 212 7 6 6 6 £781,638 £62,085 £51,322 £113,407 -£4,522 -£6,000 -£10,522 -9.28% -1.26% £0 -£10,522 -	Alwoodley Primary School		424	8	9	10	£1,520,038	£120,629	£62,780	£183,409	-£7,280	-£3,500	-£10,780	-5.88%	-0.68%	£0	-£10,780
Meanwood C of E Primary School 214 6 5 5 5 £785,031 £49,127 £50,182 £99,309 -£4,382 -£6,000 -£10,382 -10.45% -1.24% £0 -£10,164 -	Westgate Primary School		212	7	6	6		£62,085					· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·				-£10,522
Reeston Primary School 605 16 12 13 £2,195,436 £273,717 £82,764 £356,481 -£10,164 £0 -£10,164 £0 -£10,164 £0 -£10,164 £0 -£10,164 £0 -£10,164 £0 -£10,164 £0 -£10,164 £0 -£10,164 £0 -£10,164 £0 £0 -£10,164 £0 £0 £0 £0 £0 £0 £0 £0 £0 £0 £0 £0 £0	Meanwood C of E Primary School			6	5	5		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·							-		-£10,382
Gerr Mackie Primary School 425 10 9 8 £1,511,957 £116,986 £61,552 £178,538 -£7,252 -£2,500 -£9,752 -5.46% -0.62% £0 -£9,75 Harehills Primary School 629 13 11 10 £2,613,833 £313,401 £76,836 £390,237 -£9,436 -£9,436 -2.42% -0.35% £0 Grange Farm Primary School 424 16 18 17 £1,888,540 £253,362 £76,380 £329,742 -£9,430 -£9,430 -2.42% -0.48% £0 -£9,438 424 16 18 17 £1,888,540 £253,362 £76,380 £329,742 -£9,380 £0 -£9,480 -2.84% -0.48% £0	Beeston Primary School			16	12	13			· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·				· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·				-£10,164
Cool-in-Wharfedale C of E Voluntary Controlled Primary School 207 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5	Kerr Mackie Primary School			10	9	8		•									-£9,752
Harehills Primary School 629 13 11 10 £2,613,833 £313,401 £76,836 £390,237 -£9,436 £0 -£9,436 -2.42% -0.35% £0 -£9,436	,	nool		5	5	5		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·					<u> </u>		-		
Frange Farm Primary School 401 15 12 14 £1,670,907 £229,188 £76,722 £305,910 -£9,422 £0 -£9,422 -3.08% -0.54% £0 -£9,422 F0,000 F0 -£9,420 F0 -£9,420 F0 -£9,380 F0 -				13	11	10		•	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·				· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·				
Hollybush Primary School 424 16 18 17 £1,888,540 £253,362 £76,380 £329,742 -£9,380 £0 -£9,380 -2.84% -0.48% £0 - £9,38 0	·			15	12	14									-		
	·			16		17		•	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·				· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·				
1 1 7061 BI BI BI ETBEBET LONGONI L'ETITANT DE CENTRE L'ALCONI L'ETITANT L'ETITANT L'ETITANT AND AND AND AND A	Deighton Gates Primary School		205	- 10	10	17	£1,000,540 £753,673	£48,260	£76,360 £36,624	£329,742 £84,884	-£9,360 -£3,024	-£6,000	-£9,360 -£9,024	-10.63%	-1.14%	£0	-£9,380

Schools sorted by their difference in funding if blocks of £6k threshold changed to 50% & FFI unit value reduced to £600

School Name	Resourced Unit	NOR (See note 1)			Spring 18 (estimate)	Baseline Funding Allocation 2017/18	Of Which: Notional SEN Funding 2017/18 (Rec-Y11)	Estimated Funding for High Needs Pupils 2017/18 (See note 2)	Total SEN Funding 2017/18	Reduce FFI unit value to £600	Reduction if threshold increased to 50% on additional £6k blocks	Total Potential Reduction	As a percentage of Total SEN Funding	As a percentage of Total Budget	Reduced saving if losses limited to 1.5%	Revised Potential Reduction
Roundhay St John's C of E Primary School		213	8	5	6	£805,705	£68,940	£49,470	£118,410	-£4,970	-£4,000	-£8,970	-7.58%	-1.05%	£0	-£8,970
Greenmount Primary School		418	13	11	12	£1,810,000	£265,692	£72,960	£338,652	-£8,960	£0	-£8,960	-2.65%	-0.48%	£0	-£8,960
Westbrook Lane Primary		211	4	4	4	£765,510	£44,609	£29,370	£73,979	-£2,870	-£6,000	-£8,870	-11.99%	-1.12%	£0	-£8,870
Shadwell Primary School		211	4	3	3	£761,531	£36,915	£31,072	£67,987	-£2,772	-£6,000	-£8,772	-12.90%	-1.11%	£0	-£8,772
Park Spring Primary School		331	12	10	11	£1,403,366	£147,502	£68,685	£216,187	-£8,435	£0	-£8,435	-3.90%	-0.57%	£0	-£8,435
Ashfield Primary School		206	6	7	9	£847,081	£86,399	£43,400	£129,799	-£4,900	-£3,500	-£8,400	-6.47%	-0.94%	£0	-£8,400
Whingate Primary School		404	13	11	12	£1,590,144	£201,402	£68,172	£269,574	-£8,372	£0	-£8,372	-3.11%	-0.50%	£0	-£8,372
Beechwood Primary School		416	12	13	10	£1,722,423	£232,752	£68,058	£300,810	-£8,358	£0	-£8,358	-2.78%	-0.47%	£0	-£8,358
Cookridge Holy Trinity C of E Primary School		417	7	6	6	£1,390,149	£96,742	£41,146	£137,888	-£4,746	-£2,500	-£7,246	-5.25%	-0.51%	£0	-£7,246
Moortown Primary School		210	2	5	5	£800,625	£53,442	£30,746	£84,188	-£3,346	-£3,500	-£6,846	-8.13%	-0.82%	£0	-£6,846
Templenewsam Halton Primary		421	9	8	8	£1,510,719	£144,477	£55,290	£199,767	-£6,790	£0	-£6,790	-3.40%	-0.43%	£0	-£6,790
Chapel Allerton Primary School		444	8	8	7	£1,668,665	£169,406	£54,834	£224,240	-£6,734	£0	-£6,734	-3.00%	-0.39%	£0	-£6,734
Lawns Park Primary School		212	6	5	5	£852,840	£73,323	£36,244	£109,567	-£4,144	-£2,500	-£6,644	-6.06%	-0.75%	£0	-£6,644
Stanningley Primary School		213	8	5	4	£876,173	£89,406	£38,402	£127,808	-£4,102	-£2,500	-£6,602	-5.17%	-0.72%	£0	-£6,602
Castleton Primary School		226	10	9	7	£1,009,322	£127,844	£52,383	£180,227	-£6,433	£0	-£6,433	-3.57%	-0.61%	£0	-£6,433
Hovingham Primary School		599	9	8	9	£2,483,907	£312,956	£52,326	£365,282	-£6,426	£0	-£6,426	-1.76%	-0.25%	£0	-£6,426
Weetwood Primary School		209	5	6	5	£774,935	£38,960	£63,756	£102,716	-£6,356	£0	-£6,356	-6.19%	-0.76%	£0	-£6,356
St Margaret's C of E Primary School		424	8	7	7	£1,663,967	£117,113	£50,388	£167,501	-£6,188	£0	-£6,188	-3.69%	-0.36%	£0	-£6,188
Little London Community Primary School		520	0	, a	0	£2,238,520	£258,483	£50,046	£308,529	-£6,146	£0	-£6,146	-1.99%	-0.27%	£0	-£6,146
Highfield Primary School		448	9	7	7	£1,521,072	£115,933	£49,818	£165,751	-£6,118	£0	-£6,118	-3.69%	-0.27 %	£0	-£6,118
Allerton C of E Primary School		448	10	7	7	£1,769,385	£113,933 £192,417	£49,010	£105,751 £241,437	-£6,020	£0	-£6,020	-2.49%	-0.33%	£0	
·			10	- /	9		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		£241,437 £174,135		£0		1 	-0.33%	£0	-£6,020
Iveson Primary School		257	6	8	9	£1,136,392	£127,965	£46,170	, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,	-£5,670		-£5,670	-3.26%		<u> </u>	-£5,670
Primrose Lane Primary School		209	5	3	3	£758,584	£45,235	£30,080	£75,315	-£3,080	-£2,500	-£5,580	-7.41%	-0.71%	03	-£5,580
Wigton Moor Primary School		445	6	6	10	£1,544,570	£115,687	£45,372	£161,059	-£5,572	£0	-£5,572	-3.46%	-0.35%	03	-£5,572
Bramley St Peter's CE Primary School		358	/	10	10	£1,447,289	£180,655	£43,206	£223,861		£0	-£5,306	-2.37%	-0.36%	£0	-£5,306
Carr Manor Primary School		457	8	- /	8	£1,632,431	£128,179	£43,092	£171,271	-£5,292	£0	-£5,292	-3.09%	-0.32%	03	-£5,292
St Philip's Catholic Primary School		242	5	5	5	£979,579	£98,012	£42,408	£140,420	-£5,208	£0	-£5,208	-3.71%	-0.51%	£0	-£5,208
St Bartholomew's CofE Primary School		608	10	7	6	£2,522,301	£319,907	£42,180	£362,087	-£5,180	£0	-£5,180	-1.43%	-0.20%	£0	-£5,180
Fountain Primary School		411	8	7	8	£1,490,986	£137,950	£41,553	£179,503	-£5,103	£0	-£5,103	-2.84%	-0.33%	£0	-£5,103
Hugh Gaitskell Primary School		564	6	9	8	£2,281,976	£279,895	£41,382	£321,277	-£5,082	£0	-£5,082	-1.58%	-0.22%	£0	-£5,082
Bramley Primary School		284	7	8	8	£1,217,984	£148,503	£41,268	£189,771	-£5,068	£0	-£5,068	-2.67%	-0.40%	£0	-£5,068
Corpus Christi Catholic Primary School		321	5	5	5	£1,314,080	£138,948	£41,040	£179,988	-£5,040	£0	-£5,040	-2.80%	-0.37%	£0	-£5,040
Drighlington Primary School		396	11	7	9	£1,437,513	£137,384	£40,356	£177,740	-£4,956	£0	-£4,956	-2.79%	-0.34%	£0	-£4,956
Shakespeare Primary School	Υ	359	6	5	7	£1,820,844	£195,206	£44,523	£239,729	-£4,956	£0	-£4,956	-2.07%	-0.27%	£0	-£4,956
Grimes Dyke Primary School	Υ	260	11	10	9	£1,157,264	£135,773	£129,697	£265,470	-£4,904	£0	-£4,904	-1.85%	-0.38%	£0	-£4,904
Morley Victoria Primary School		421	5	6	6	£1,456,934	£122,711	£39,330	£162,041	-£4,830	£0	-£4,830	-2.98%	-0.32%	£0	-£4,830
Middleton St Mary's C of E Primary School		415	5	5	6	£1,704,799	£217,172	£38,532	£255,704	-£4,732	£0	-£4,732	-1.85%	-0.27%	£0	-£4,732
Farsley Springbank Primary School		399	6	7	7	£1,366,433	£103,243	£38,418	£141,661	-£4,718	£0	-£4,718	-3.33%	-0.34%	£0	-£4,718
Seven Hills Primary School		417	7	6	6	£1,541,285	£157,721	£38,418	£196,139	-£4,718	£0	-£4,718	-2.41%	-0.30%	£0	-£4,718
St Augustine's Catholic Primary School		420	6	6	6	£1,607,261	£186,950	£37,506	£224,456	-£4,606	£0	-£4,606	-2.05%	-0.28%	£0	-£4,606
St Theresa's Catholic Primary School		424	7	6	6	£1,491,526	£126,674	£37,050	£163,724	-£4,550	£0	-£4,550	-2.78%	-0.30%	£0	-£4,550
Cobden Primary School		198	5	5	5	£879,068	£97,788	£36,252	£134,040	-£4,452	£0	-£4,452	-3.32%	-0.49%	£0	-£4,452
Hunslet Moor Primary School		316	10	5	5	£1,401,786	£156,136	£36,138	£192,274	-£4,438	£0	-£4,438	-2.31%	-0.31%	£0	-£4,438
Immaculate Heart of Mary Catholic Primary School		420	7	7	7	£1,378,218	£100,770	£36,138	£136,908	-£4,438	£0	-£4,438	-3.24%	-0.31%	£0	-£4,438
Asquith Primary School		335	5	6	6	£1,341,438	£106,533	£35,682	£142,215	-£4,382	£0	-£4,382	-3.08%	-0.32%	£0	-£4,382
Moor Allerton Hall Primary School	Y	419	11	16	16	£1,644,184	£172,539	£141,287	£313,827	-£4,354	£0	-£4,354	-1.39%	-0.24%	£0	-£4,354
Summerfield Primary School		205	5	5	6	£903,923	£104,149	£34,770	£138,919	-£4,270	£0	-£4,270	-3.07%	-0.45%	£0	-£4,270
Blenheim Primary School		402	7	7	7	£1,804,965	£163,798	£34,542	£198,340	-£4,242	£0	-£4,242	-2.14%	-0.23%	£0	-£4,242
Pudsey Primrose Hill Primary		407	8	4	7	£1,441,474	£120,749	£34,542	£155,291	-£4,242	£0	-£4,242	-2.73%	-0.29%	£0	-£4,242
Parklands Primary School	Υ	302	17	19	19	£1,457,957	£180,630	£256,785	£437,415	-£4,200	£0	-£4,200	-0.96%	-0.24%	£0	-£4,200
Whitkirk Primary School	'	411	7	5	5	£1,478,687	£151,013	£32,946	£183,959	-£4,046	£0	-£4,200 -£4,046	-2.20%	-0.24%	£0	-£4,200 -£4,046
Horsforth Newlaithes Primary School		412	7	7	7	£1,478,687 £1,368,673	£131,013	£32,940 £32,832	£165,939 £145,890	-£4,032	£0	-£4,040 -£4,032	-2.20%	-0.27 %	£0	-£4,040 -£4,032

Page 34

MODELLING OF OPTIONS FOR 2018/19 SEN FUNDING FOR PRE 16 PUPILS, COMPARED TO 2017/18 FUNDING - TO BE UPDATED ONCE FINAL HIGH NEEDS FUNDING FOR 2017/18 IS KNOWN

Schools sorted by their difference in funding if blocks of £6k threshold changed to 50% & FFI unit value reduced to £600

		NOR	High Nee	eds Pupils	in receipt of	Baseline	Of Which:	Estimated			Reduction if		As a		Reduced	
	Resourced	(See		FFI		Funding	Notional SEN	Funding for	Total SEN	Reduce FFI unit	threshold increased	Total	percentage of	As a	saving if	Revised
School Name	Unit	note 1)				Allocation	Funding	High Needs	Funding	value to £600	to 50% on	Potential	Total SEN	percentage of	losses limited	Potential
			Summer	Autumn	Spring 18	2017/18	2017/18	Pupils 2017/18	2017/18	1 416/6 65 25 5	additional £6k	Reduction	Funding	Total Budget	to 1.5%	Reduction
Dudgov Croonside Drimary School		310	17	17	(estimate)	£1,074,896	(Rec-Y11) £73,004	(See note 2) £32,832	£105,836	-£4,032	blocks £0	-£4,032	-3.81%	-0.36%	£0	-£4,032
Pudsey Greenside Primary School		430	3	5	5	£1,074,896 £1,872,301	£73,004 £233,117	£32,632 £31,692	£103,838	-£3,892	£0	-£4,032 -£3,892	-1.47%		£0	-£4,032 -£3,892
Bracken Edge Primary School	V	-	7	0	6	£1,072,301 £1,127,950	£233,117 £113,094	£69,037	£204,609 £182,131	-£3,654	-	-£3,692 -£3,654	-2.01%	 	£0	-£3,692 -£3,654
Hawksworth Wood Primary School St Matthew's C of E Aided Primary School	Ť	253 412	- /	5	5	£1,127,950 £1,452,908	£113,094 £134,822	£09,037 £29,640	£162,131 £164,462	-£3,640	£0	-£3,640	-2.01%	 	£0	-£3,634 -£3,640
Swarcliffe Primary School		300	5	5	5	£1,452,906 £1,327,490	£134,622 £167,106	£29,640 £29,412	£104,462 £196,518	-£3,640 -£3,612		-£3,640 -£3,612	-1.84%	 	£0	-£3,640 -£3,612
St Oswald's C of E Primary School		432	5	5	5	£1,327,490 £1,437,041	£107,106	£29,412 £29,298	£130,978	-£3,598	£0	-£3,512 -£3,598	-2.75%		£0	-£3,512 -£3,598
Scholes (Elmet) Primary School		295	5	5	5	£1,437,041 £1,054,980	£101,660 £71,990	£29,296 £28,842	£130,978 £100,832	-£3,542	£0	-£3,596 -£3,542	-3.51%	 	£0	-£3,596 -£3,542
,		295	0	3	0	£1,054,960 £900,822	£71,990 £98,588	£28,272	£100,832 £126,860	-£3,472	£0	-£3,542 -£3,472	-2.74%		£0	-£3,542 -£3,472
Beeston St Francis of Assisi Catholic Primary Clapgate Primary School		371	4	4	4	£1,546,267	£208,040	£20,272 £27,816	£120,860 £235,856	-£3,416	£0	-£3,472 -£3,416	-1.45%		£0	-£3,472 -£3,416
Swinnow Primary School		211	0	5	5	£1,346,267 £863,946	£85,811	£27,816	£233,636 £113,627	-£3,416	£0	-£3,416	-3.01%		£0	-£3,416
St Mary's C of E Primary School Boston Spa		137	4	2	3	£560,514	£34,961	£9,682	£113,627 £44,643	-£3,416 -£882	-£2,500	-£3,410 -£3,382	-7.58%		£0	-£3,416 -£3,382
All Saints C of E Primary School		219	3	4	2	£973,917	£108,389	£26,505	£134,894	-£3,255	£0	-£3,362 -£3,255	-2.41%	 	£0	-£3,362 -£3,255
Gildersome Primary School		364	4	4	5	£1,329,313	£106,369	£25,992	£134,694 £149,679	-£3,192	£0	-£3,255 -£3,192	-2.41%	t	£0	-£3,255 -£3,192
Thorner C.E. Primary School		186	2	2	3	£704,917	£123,007 £43,919	£25,992 £24,624	£149,679 £68,543	-£3,024	£0	-£3,192 -£3,024	-4.41%	 	£0	·
Methley Primary School		414	5	5	3	£1,626,080	£45,919 £95,423	£24,024 £24,168	£119,591	-£3,024 -£2,968	£0	-£3,024 -£2,968	-2.48%		£0	-£3,024 -£2,968
Our Lady of Good Counsel Catholic Primary School		211	5	3	4	£1,020,000 £887,233	£95,423	£23,484	£116,213	-£2,884	£0	-£2,900 -£2,884	-2.48%	 	£0	-£2,966 -£2,884
Ninelands Primary School		420	0	4	4	£1,409,860	£92,729 £122,550	£23,464 £23,256	£116,213 £145,806	-£2,856	£0	-£2,856	-1.96%		£0	-£2,864 -£2,856
Tranmere Park Primary School		357	4	9	2	£1,409,860 £1,143,298	£122,550 £53,144	£23,236 £22,002	£75,146	-£2,702	£0	-£2,650 -£2,702	-3.60%	 	£0	-£2,702
Churwell Primary School		418	4	3	3	£1,143,296 £1,468,402	£137,444	£22,002 £21,888	£159,332	-£2,702 -£2,688	£0	-£2,702 -£2,688	-1.69%	<u> </u>	£0	-£2,702 -£2,688
			4	4	4		£137,444 £160,851	£21,888	£159,332 £182,739	-£2,688	£0	-£2,688	-1.69%		£0	-£2,688
Pudsey Bolton Royd Primary School		419 211		4	4	£1,845,501 £759,203	£160,651	£21,000 £28,660	£67,683	-£2,660	£0	-£2,660	-3.93%		£0	-£2,660
Rothwell St Mary's Catholic Primary School Wykebeck Primary School			3	4	5	£1,765,994	£39,023 £233,847	£20,000 £21,660	£07,003 £255,507	-£2,660	£0	-£2,660	-1.04%	-	£0	-£2,660 -£2,660
		394	5	4	5		£233,647 £211,133									
Raynville Primary School St Peter's C of E Primary School, Leeds		413 210	5	3	4	£1,661,551 £934,403	£211,133 £99,772	£21,432 £20,862	£232,565 £120,634	-£2,632	£0	-£2,632 -£2,562	-1.13% -2.12%		£0	-£2,632 -£2,562
		209	4		4	£881,159		£20,602		-£2,562			-2.12%	 	£0	
Holy Family Catholic Primary School Rosebank Primary School		280	3	2	0	£1,257,162	£156,282	£20,634	£103,463 £176,916	-£2,534 -£2,534	£0	-£2,534 -£2,534	-1.43%		£0	
		206	4	2	3	£1,257,162 £961,570	£136,262 £118,615	£20,634 £20,520	£170,910 £139,135	-£2,534 -£2,520	£0	-£2,534 -£2,520	-1.43%		£0	
Quarry Mount Primary School Cookridge Primary School		304	5	3	3	£1,347,318	£110,013 £111,148	£20,320 £20,406	£139,133 £131,554	-£2,520 -£2,506	£0	-£2,520 -£2,506	-1.90%		£0	-£2,520 -£2,506
Beecroft Primary School		268	3	2	3	£1,347,316 £1,154,269	£111,146 £93,235	£20,406	£131,534 £113,527	-£2,492	£0	-£2,500 -£2,492	-2.20%	 	£0	
Westwood Primary School		287	2	2	4	£1,199,855	£95,235 £165,532	£20,292 £19,722	£113,327 £185,254	-£2,492 -£2,422	£0	-£2,492 -£2,422	-1.31%		£0	
Calverley Parkside Primary School		202	2	5	2	£759,266	£105,532 £51,820	£19,722	£70,972	-£2,422 -£2,352	£0	-£2,422 -£2,352	-3.31%		£0	
Oulton Primary School		333	5	2	2	£1,325,672	£145,269	£19,132 £19,038	£164,307	-£2,338	£0	-£2,332 -£2,338	-1.42%	 	£0	·
Adel St John the Baptist C of E Primary		212	2	2	3	£763,164	£45,278	£17,784	£63,062	-£2,184	£0	-£2,184	-3.46%		£0	l ————————————————————————————————————
Holy Rosary and St Anne's Catholic Primary School		210	2	2	2	£935,755	£103,734	£17,784	£121,404	-£2,170	£0	-£2,170	-1.79%	 	£0	·
Hunslet St Mary's C of E Primary School		228	1	3	3	£935,755 £946,399	£105,734 £105,691	£17,070	£121,404 £122,677	-£2,170 -£2,086	£0	-£2,170 -£2,086	-1.79%		£0	-£2,170 -£2,086
Cross Gates Primary School		207	2	3	3	£927,674	£106,592	£16,644	£123,236	-£2,044	£0	-£2,044	-1.66%	 	£0	
Christ Church Upper Armley C of E Primary		194	4	3	3	£832,922	£88,346	£16,530	£104,876	-£2,030	£0	-£2,030	-1.94%		£0	-£2,030
Lower Wortley Primary School		312	3	3	2	£1,383,806	£116,487	£16,416	£132,903	-£2,016	£0	-£2,016	-1.52%		£0	
Barwick-in-Elmet C of E Primary School		198	1	1	2	£755,222	£56,059	£16,074	£72,133	-£1,974	£0	-£1,974	-2.74%		£0	-£1,974
Yeadon Westfield Junior School		233	3	1 4	4	£862,520	£63,790	£15,276	£79,066	-£1,876	£0	-£1,876	-2.37%	ł	£0	(
St Joseph's Catholic Primary School, Wetherby		212	3	2	2	£753,653	£41,033	£15,162	£56,195	-£1,862	£0	-£1,862	-3.31%		£0	·
Rothwell Victoria Junior School		156	2	3	3	£672,665	£58,945	£14,934	£73,879	-£1,834	£0	-£1,834	-2.48%		£0	
Mill Field Primary School		401	3	3	3	£1,747,319	£227,876	£14,364	£242,240	-£1,764	£0	-£1,764	-0.73%	 	£0	
St Patrick Catholic Primary School		211	2	2	2	£845,554	£78,103	£13,680	£91,783	-£1,680	£0	-£1,680	-1.83%		£0	
Bramhope Primary School	 	278	2	2	2	£938,700	£42,737	£12,312	£55,049	-£1,512	£0	-£1,512	-2.75%	 	£0	·
Yeadon Westfield Infant School		178	3	2	2	£663,136	£43,476	£12,084	£55,560	-£1,484	£0	-£1,312 -£1,484	-2.67%		£0	-£1,484
Pudsey Lowtown Primary School		210	1	2	2	£802,424	£43,470 £57,303	£12,064 £11,856	£69,159	-£1,456	£0	-£1,464 -£1,456	-2.11%		£0	-£1,464 -£1,456
Spring Bank Primary School		214	2	1	4	£950,505	£37,303 £76,049	£11,856	£87,905	-£1,456	£0	-£1,456	-1.66%		£0	
Harewood C of E Primary School	 	106	1	2		£950,505 £463,801	£76,049 £22,921	£11,636 £11,400	£34,321	-£1,400	£0	-£1,450	-4.08%	 	£0	-£1,436 -£1,400
Austhorpe Primary School		211	1	2	2	£463,801 £793,983	£62,663	£11,400 £10,944	£34,321 £73,607	-£1,400 -£1,344	£0	-£1,400 -£1,344	-1.83%		£0	-£1,400 -£1,344
		208	2	2	2		£62,663 £54,118		£73,607 £64,948		£0	-£1,344 -£1,330	-1.83%			· — — —
St Paul's Catholic Primary School		-			2	£787,801		£10,830		-£1,330		-			03	-£1,330
Rufford Park Primary School		274	2	<u> </u>	2	£1,179,313	£98,308	£9,576	£107,884	-£1,176	£0	-£1,176	-1.09%	-0.10%	£0	-£1,176

Page 35

Schools sorted by their difference in funding if blocks of £6k threshold changed to 50% & FFI unit value reduced to £600

School Name	Resourced Unit	NOR (See note 1)		Autumn	Spring 18 (estimate)	Baseline Funding Allocation 2017/18	Of Which: Notional SEN Funding 2017/18 (Rec-Y11)	Estimated Funding for High Needs Pupils 2017/18 (See note 2)	Total SEN Funding 2017/18	Reduce FFI unit value to £600	Reduction if threshold increased to 50% on additional £6k blocks	Total Potential Reduction	As a percentage of Total SEN Funding	As a percentage of Total Budget	Reduced saving if losses limited to 1.5%	Revised Potential Reduction
St Edward's Catholic Primary School, Boston Spa		151	1	1	1	£574,894	£26,185	£9,576	£35,761	-£1,176	£0	-£1,176	-3.29%	-0.20%	£0	-£1,176
Westroyd Primary School		138	2	2	2	£574,301	£35,630	£9,576	£45,206	-£1,176	£0	-£1,176	-2.60%	-0.20%	£0	-£1,176
St Nicholas Catholic Primary School		287	3	2	2	£1,138,654	£116,612	£9,348	£125,960	-£1,148	£0	-£1,148	-0.91%	-0.10%	£0	-£1,148
Five Lanes Primary School		419	0	2	2	£1,620,597	£137,715	£9,120	£146,835	-£1,120	£0	-£1,120	-0.76%	-0.07%	£0	-£1,120
Manston Primary School		211	1	2	2	£853,096	£70,886	£8,892	£79,778	-£1,092	£0	-£1,092	-1.37%	-0.13%	£0	-£1,092
Bramham Primary School		138	1	1	1	£572,243	£36,159	£8,208	£44,367	-£1,008	£0	-£1,008	-2.27%	-0.17%	£0	-£1,008
Brodetsky Primary School		283	2	2	2	£1,018,089	£58,814	£8,208	£67,022	-£1,008	£0	-£1,008	-1.50%	-0.10%	£0	-£1,008
Otley All Saints C of E Primary School		217	2	2	2	£793,273	£47,513	£8,208	£55,721	-£1,008	£0	-£1,008	-1.81%	-0.13%	£0	-£1,008
Ingram Road Primary School		321	3	2	2	£1,501,023	£202,160	£7,980	£210,140	-£980	£0	-£980	-0.47%	-0.06%	£0	-£980
Whinmoor St Paul's C of E Primary School		199	2	1	1	£778,778	£63,446	£7,296	£70,742	-£896	£0	-£896	-1.27%	-0.11%	£0	-£896
Crossley Street Primary School		203	0	2	2	£757,211	£51,554	£7,182	£58,736	-£882	03	-£882	-1.50%	-0.12%	£0	-£882
Brudenell Primary School		262	2	2	2	£1,169,643	£123,757	£6,840	£130,597	-£840	03	-£840	-0.64%	-0.07%	£0	-£840
Micklefield C of E Primary School		90	1	1	1	£467,692	£40,817	£6,840	£47,657	-£840	03	-£840	-1.76%	-0.18%	£0	-£840
St Anthony's Catholic Primary School, Beeston		213	1	1	1	£827,499	£73,234	£6,840	£80,074	-£840	£0	-£840	-1.05%	-0.10%	£0	-£840
Thorp Arch Lady Elizabeth Hastings' C of E Primary		134	2	2	2	£538,600	£32,442	£6,840	£39,282	-£840	£0	-£840	-2.14%	-0.15%	£0	-£840
Sacred Heart Catholic Primary School		205	2	1	1	£883,611	£83,545	£5,928	£89,473	-£728	£0	-£728	-0.81%	-0.08%	£0	-£728
Rawdon St Peter's C of E Primary School		305	2	0	0	£1,051,143	£67,444	£5,700	£73,144	-£700	£0	-£700	-0.96%	-0.07%	£0	-£700
Pudsey Tyersal Primary School		202	2	1	1	£843,107	£93,991	£5,586	£99,577	-£686	£0	-£686	-0.69%	-0.08%	£0	-£686
Birchfield Primary School		205	1	1	1	£783,707	£60,626	£5,472	£66,098	-£672	£0	-£672	-1.02%	-0.09%	£0	-£672
St Joseph's Catholic Primary School, Hunslet		204	1	1	<u>'</u>	£905,776	£89,353	£5,472	£94,825	-£672	£0	-£672	-0.71%	-0.07%	£0	-£672
St Urban's Catholic Primary School		210	2	2	2	£795,039	£52,815	£5,472	£58,287	-£672	£0	-£672	-1.15%	-0.08%	£0	-£672
Otley The Whartons Primary School		175	1	2	2	£674,253	£56,186	£5,130	£61,316	-£630	£0	-£630	-1.03%	-0.09%	£0	-£630
J				4		£815,897	£86,786	£4,446	£91,232		£0	-£546	-0.60%	-0.09%	£0	-£546
Armley Primary School		181	1	1	1	£597,763	£41,963	£4,446 £4,446	£91,232 £46,409	-£546 -£546	£0	-£546		-0.07%	£0	-£546
Rothwell Haigh Road Infant School Burley St Matthias' C of E Primary School		132		1	1	£942.756	£104,509		£108.499	-£546 -£490	£0	-£346 -£490	-1.18% -0.45%	-0.09%	£0	-£346 -£490
		200		1	1		£104,509 £23,892	£3,990 £3,990	£106,499 £27,882	≈ 100	20	-£490 -£490		-0.05%	~0	~
Hawksworth C of E Primary School		106	0	1	1	£447,104	· · · · · ·			-£490	£0		-1.76%		£0	-£490
St Francis Catholic Primary School, Morley		189	0	1	1	£743,732	£58,548	£3,990	£62,538	-£490	£0	-£490	-0.78%	-0.07%	£0	-£490
Carlton Primary School		310	1	0	1	£1,102,116	£81,397	£3,192	£84,589	-£392	£0	-£392	-0.46%	-0.04%	£0	-£392
Bardsey Primary School		187	1	1	1	£706,683	£49,326	£2,736	£52,062	-£336	03	-£336	-0.65%	-0.05%	£0	-£336
Great Preston C of E Primary School		203	1	1	1	£798,171	£65,103	£2,736	£67,839	-£336	03	-£336	-0.50%	-0.04%	0 <u>£</u>	-£336
Aberford C of E Primary School		103	1	0	0	£464,639	£28,690	£2,280	£30,970	-£280	03	-£280	-0.90%	-0.06%	03	-£280
Lady Elizabeth Hastings C of E Primary School, Ledston		126	1	0	0	£512,687	£25,858	£2,280	£28,138	-£280	£0	-£280	-1.00%	-0.05%	£0	-£280
Valley View Community Primary School		415	0	1	0	£1,563,547	£137,843	£2,280	£140,123	-£280	£0	-£280	-0.20%	-0.02%	£0	-£280
Wetherby St. James CE Primary		75	0	1	1	£433,813	£25,418	£1,596	£27,014	-£196	£0	-£196	-0.73%	-0.05%	£0	-£196
Horsforth Featherbank Primary School		201	1	0	0	£789,483	£60,122	£1,140	£61,262	-£140	£0	-£140	-0.23%	-0.02%	£0	-£140
Collingham Lady Elizabeth Hastings' C of E Primary		208	1	0	0	£738,641	£41,025	£684	£41,709	-£84	£0	-£84	-0.20%	-0.01%	£0	-£84
Calverley C of E Primary School		368	0	0	0	£1,270,200	£88,798	£0	£88,798	£0	£0	£0	0.00%	0.00%	£0	£0
Kirkstall St Stephen's C of E Primary School		205	0	0	0	£846,464	£78,533	£0	£78,533	£0	£0	£0	0.00%	0.00%	£0	£0
West End Primary School		240	0	0	0	£844,717	£42,331	£0	£42,331	£0	£0	£0	0.00%	0.00%	£0	£0
White Laith Primary School		206	0	0	0	£855,817	£83,449	£0	£83,449	£0	£0	£0	0.00%	0.00%	£0	£0
Primary Academies				T												
Westerton Primary Academy		630	17	17	17	£2,038,720	£156,056	£134,400	£290,456	-£11,900	-£20,500	-£32,400	-11.15%	-1.49%	£0	-£32,400
Kippax Ash Tree Primary School		339	12	11	11	£1,486,879	£138,272	£91,768	£230,040	-£8,568	-£14,500	-£23,068	-10.03%	-1.46%	£0	-£23,068
Hillcrest Academy		420	14	10	11	£1,834,335	£229,309	£87,406	£316,715	-£9,506	-£10,000	-£19,506	-6.16%	-1.02%	£0	-£19,506
Manston St James Primary Academy		442	13	10	9	£1,522,718	£132,135	£83,936	£216,071	-£8,036	-£10,500	-£18,536	-8.58%	-1.15%	£0	-£18,536
Ryecroft Academy		280	11	8	9	£1,264,669	£156,463	£68,596	£225,059	-£7,196	-£10,000	-£17,196	-7.64%	-1.29%	£0	-£17,196
St Chad's Church of England Primary School		211	6	6	6	£802,035	£55,023	£48,464	£103,487	-£3,864	-£8,500	-£12,364	-11.95%	-1.45%	£0	-£12,364
Park View Primary Academy		211	7	6	6	£963,359	£117,171	£50,258	£167,429	-£5,558	-£5,000	-£10,558	-6.31%	-1.04%	£0	-£10,558
St Joseph's Catholic Primary School, Pudsey		247	5	4	4	£878,893	£60,466	£40,674	£101,140	-£4,074	-£5,000	-£9,074	-8.97%	-0.99%	£0	-£9,074
Ss Peter and Paul Catholic Primary, a Voluntary Academy		214	4	4	4	£756,461	£51,911	£33,124	£85,035	-£3,024	-£6,000	-£9,024	-10.61%	-1.14%	£0	-£9,024
Richmond Hill Primary School	Υ	576	34	36	36	£2,483,036	£317,784	£567,607	£885,391	-£7,938	£0	-£7,938	-0.90%	-0.26%	£0	-£7,938
Nightingale Primary Academy		260	6	5	5	£1,274,562	£137,758	£44,452	£182,210	-£5,152	-£2,500	-£7,652	-4.20%	-0.58%	£0	-£7,652

Page 36

Schools sorted by their difference in funding if blocks of £6k threshold changed to 50% & FFI unit value reduced to £600

School Name	Resourced Unit	NOR (See	High Need	ds Pupils in receipt of FFI	Baseline Funding	Of Which: Notional SEN Funding	Estimated Funding for High Needs	Total SEN Funding	Reduce FFI unit	Reduction if threshold increased to 50% on	Total Potential	As a percentage of	As a percentage of	Reduced saving if	Revised Potential
		note 1)	Summer 7	Autumn Spring 18 17 (estimate)	Allocation 2017/18	2017/18 (Rec-Y11)	Pupils 2017/18 (See note 2)	2017/18	value to £600	additional £6k blocks	Reduction	Total SEN Funding	Total Budget	to 1.5%	Reduction
East Ardsley Primary Academy		373	6	5 (estimate)	£1,503,469	£117,595	£39,550	£157,145	-£4,550	-£2,500	-£7,050	-4.49%	-0.46%	£0	-£7,050
Brownhill Primary Academy		413	9	7 7	£1,847,847	£263,055	£57,228	£320,283	-£7,028	£0	-£7,028	-2.19%	-0.37%	£0	-£7,028
Woodlands Primary Academy		418	11	9 10	£1,828,290	£224,941	£57,000	£281,941	-£7,000	£0	-£7,000	-2.48%	-0.37%	£0	-£7,000
Ebor Gardens Primary Academy		397	11	7 8	£1,833,541	£189,650	£44,802	£234,452	-£5,502	£0	-£5,502	-2.35%	-0.29%	£0	-£5,502
Swillington Primary School		189	4	3 3	£779,818	£82,459	£24,502	£106,961	-£2,702	-£2,500	-£5,202	-4.86%	-0.65%	£0	-£5,202
Kippax North Primary School		193	4	3 3	£737,379	£52,035	£25,976	£78,011	-£2,576	-£2,500	-£5,202	-6.51%	-0.66%	£0	-£5,202
Morley Newlands Academy		524	7	6 7	£1,865,333	£215,919	£38,532	£254,451	-£4,732	£0	-£4,732	-1.86%	-0.25%	£0	-£4,732
Cottingley Primary Academy		262	6	5 5	£1,146,182	£127,104	£33,516	£160,620	-£4,732 -£4,116	£0	-£4,732	-	-0.25%	£0	-£4,116
St Mary's Horsforth Catholic Voluntary Academy		211	3	2 2	£757,972	£40,342	£11,848	£52,190	-£1,148	-£2,500	-£3,648	-6.99%	-0.47%	£0	-£3,648
Oakwood Primary Academy		425	3	4 3	£1,974,991	£251,385	£24,510	£275,895	-£3,010	£0	-£3,040	-1.09%	-0.47 %	£0	-£3,040
Victoria Primary Academy		424	3	7 4	£1,690,218	£227,159	£24,510	£251,669	-£3,010	£0	-£3,010	-1.20%	-0.13%	£0	-£3,010
St Benedict's Catholic Primary School		215	2	2 4	£795,976	£59,329	£18,012	£77,341	-£2,212	£0	-£2,212	-2.86%	-0.18%	£0	-£2,212
Green Lane Primary Academy		414	5	5 5	£1,404,221	£126,474	£17,784	£17,341 £144,258	-£2,212 -£2,184	£0	-£2,212 -£2,184	-1.51%	-0.27 %	£0	-£2,212 -£2,184
Kippax Greenfield Primary School		182	3	2 2	£683,950	£120,474 £42,357	£17,764 £15,048	£144,238 £57,405	-£2,1848	£0	-£2,164 -£1,848	-3.22%	-0.15%	£0	-£2,164 -£1,848
··		194	2	1 1	£730,405	£42,337 £46,831	£15,048 £7,498	£57,405 £54,329	-£1,646 -£798	-£1,000	-£1,046	-3.22%	-0.26%	£0	-£1,046
Otley St Joseph's Catholic Primary School			3	2 1	<u> </u>										<u> </u>
Allerton Bywater Primary School		283	2	2 1	£1,074,005	£99,505	£9,063	£108,568	-£1,113	£0	-£1,113	-1.03%	-0.10%	£0	-£1,113
Hill Top Primary Academy		208	2	2 2	£828,809	£49,400	£8,778	£58,178	-£1,078	03	-£1,078		-0.13%	£0	-£1,078
East Garforth Primary Academy		253	3	1 1	£912,050	£71,781	£7,296	£79,077	-£896	03	-£896	-1.13%	-0.10%	0 <u>3</u>	-£896
Holy Name Catholic Voluntary Academy		199	1	1 1	£750,759	£53,393	£6,840	£60,233	-£840	03	-£840	-1.39%	-0.11%	03	-£840
Rothwell Church of England Primary Academy		189	1	1 1	£752,054	£65,759	£6,840	£72,599	-£840	03	-£840	-1.16%	-0.11%	03	-£840
Christ The King Catholic Primary School, A Voluntary Academ	ny	187	0	1 1	£765,181	£65,835	£1,596	£67,431	-£196	03	-£196	-0.29%	-0.03%	£0	-£196
Khalsa Science Academy		87	0	0 0	£362,281	£24,686	£0	£24,686	£0	£0	£0	0.00%	0.00%	£0	£0
SUB TOTALS Maintained Secondary & All-Through Schools	10	66,668	1,399	1,324 1,353	£264,197,431	£25,776,483	£10,287,432	£36,063,915	-£968,300	-£461,000	-£1,429,300	-3.96%	-0.52%	£61,583	-£1,367,717
Roundhay School	Υ	1,630	49	53 53	£8,236,681	£509,623	£469,083	£978,706	-£24,638	-£3,500	-£28,138	-	-0.32%	£0	-£28,138
Temple Moor High School		1,110	22	16 16	£5,668,482	£447,823	£112,176	£559,999	-£13,776	£0	-£13,776	-2.46%	-0.24%	£0	-£13,776
Lawnswood School		893	22	23 23	£5,612,292	£373,806	£110,580	£484,386	-£13,580	£0	-£13,580	-2.80%	-0.24%	£0	-£13,580
Royds School		865	12	14 14	£4,509,557	£373,124	£62,928	£436,052	-£7,728	£0	-£7,728	-1.77%	-0.17%	£0	-£7,728
Benton Park School		1,123	11	10 10	£5,409,224	£347,179	£56,772	£403,951	-£6,972	£0	-£6,972	-1.73%	-0.13%	£0	-£6,972
Allerton High School	Υ	1,029	24	21 21	£5,297,044	£324,495	£243,296	£567,791	-£6,454	£0	-£6,454	-1.14%	-0.12%	£0	-£6,454
Allerton Grange School	Υ	1,211	20	16 16	£6,634,750	£514,421	£222,411	£736,832	-£4,774	£0	-£4,774	-0.65%	-0.07%	£0	-£4,774
Pudsey Grangefield School		983	5	4 4	£4,856,475	£307,143	£34,542	£341,685	-£4,242	£0	-£4,242	-1.24%	-0.09%	£0	-£4,242
Carr Manor Community School		1,118	5	7 7	£7,038,841	£542,034	£32,832	£574,866	-£4,032	£0	-£4,032	-0.70%	-0.06%	£0	-£4,032
Corpus Christi Catholic College	Υ	966	31	34 34	£5,033,897	£368,660	£414,739	£783,399	-£3,920	£0	-£3,920	-0.50%	-0.07%	£0	-£3,920
Ralph Thoresby School.	Υ	783	22	24 24	£4,666,536	£343,944	£319,551	£663,494	-£3,654	£0	-£3,654	-0.55%	-0.07%	£0	-£3,654
Cardinal Heenan Catholic High School		900	6	6 6	£4,655,572	£276,288	£27,360	£303,648	-£3,360	£0	-£3,360	-1.11%	-0.07%	£0	-£3,360
Boston Spa School		649	4	6 6	£3,253,707	£218,095	£18,012	£236,107	-£2,128	£0	-£2,128	-0.90%	-0.07%	£0	-£2,128
Mount St Mary's Catholic High School		906	3	3 3	£4,952,039	£437,850	£12,540	£450,390	-£1,540	£0	-£1,540	-0.34%	-0.03%	£0	-£1,540
Guiseley School		1,131	0	3 3	£5,230,066	£301,696	£10,602	£312,298	-£1,302	£0	-£1,302	-0.42%	-0.02%	£0	-£1,302
Wetherby High School		568	2	2 2	£2,778,656	£156,237	£5,472	£161,709	-£672	£0	-£672	-0.42%	-0.02%	£0	-£672
Secondary & All Through Academies/Free Schools				<u> </u>											
Leeds City Academy		454	16	16 15	£3,756,912	£313,478	£98,684	£412,162	-£10,584	-£12,500	-£23,084	-5.60%	-0.60%	£0	-£23,084
St. Mary's Menston a Catholic Voluntary Academy		945	13	9 9	£4,248,373	£189,704	£69,614	£259,318	-£7,014	-£12,500	-£19,514	-7.53%	-0.45%	£0	-£19,514
Brigshaw High School and Language College	Υ	1,112	23	21 20	£5,429,683	£385,564	£172,397	£557,961	-£11,690	-£2,500	-£14,190	-2.54%	-0.25%	£0	-£14,190
Leeds East Academy		728	15	18 19	£4,341,482	£434,764	£93,138	£527,902	-£11,438	£0	-£11,438		-0.26%	£0	-£11,438
Cockburn School		1,199	19	19 19	£6,423,436	£565,889	£91,314	£657,203	-£11,214	03	-£11,214	-1.71%	-0.17%	£0	-£11,214
Garforth Academy		1,515	15	14 14	£7,002,404	£467,739	£59,394	£527,133	-£7,294	£0	-£7,294	-1.38%	-0.10%	£0	-£7,294
Abbey Grange Church of England Academy		1,158	8	10 10	£5,578,995	£334,629	£56,658	£391,287	-£6,958	£0	-£6,958	-1.78%	-0.12%	£0	-£6,958
Swallow Hill Community College	Υ	670	14	8 9	£4,006,183	£386,549	£119,905	£506,454	-£6,538	03	-£6,538	-1.29%	-0.16%	£0	-£6,538
	-	944	10	- - -	£4,849,864	£388,161	£50,958	£439,119	-£6,258	£0	-£6,258		-0.13%	£0	-£6,258

age 3/

MODELLING OF OPTIONS FOR 2018/19 SEN FUNDING FOR PRE 16 PUPILS, COMPARED TO 2017/18 FUNDING - TO BE UPDATED ONCE FINAL HIGH NEEDS FUNDING FOR 2017/18 IS KNOWN

Schools sorted by their difference in funding if blocks of £6k threshold changed to 50% & FFI unit value reduced to £600

School Name	Resourced Unit	NOR (See note 1)	Summer A	FFI Sutumn S	pring 18	Baseline Funding Allocation 2017/18	Of Which: Notional SEN Funding 2017/18 (Rec-Y11)	Estimated Funding for High Needs Pupils 2017/18 (See note 2)	Total SEN Funding 2017/18	Reduce FFI unit value to £600	Reduction if threshold increased to 50% on additional £6k blocks	Total Potential Reduction	As a percentage of Total SEN Funding	As a percentage of Total Budget	Reduced saving if losses limited to 1.5%	Revised Potential Reduction
The Co-operative Academy of Leeds		875	9	8	8	£5,977,611	£576,939	£47,424	£624,363	-£5,824	£0	-£5,824	-0.93%	-0.10%	£0	-£5,824
Rodillian Academy		1,289	6	8	8	£6,488,164	£452,921	£46,626	£499,547	-£5,726	£0	-£5,726	-1.15%	-0.09%	£0	-£5,726
Otley Prince Henry's Grammar School Specialist Language	College	1,183	7	9	10	£5,418,034	£299,461	£43,092	£342,553	-£5,292	£0	-£5,292	-1.54%	-0.10%	£0	-£5,292
Leeds West Academy		1,163	8	10	10	£6,237,466	£571,158	£38,418	£609,576	-£4,718	£0	-£4,718	-0.77%	-0.08%	£0	-£4,718
The Morley Academy		1,465	4	3	3	£6,962,831	£462,492	£19,380	£481,872	-£2,380	£0	-£2,380	-0.49%	-0.03%	£0	-£2,380
The Ruth Gorse Academy		717	2	5	5	£3,977,049	£358,843	£18,924	£377,767	-£2,324	£0	-£2,324	-0.62%	-0.06%	£0	-£2,324
The South Leeds Academy		884	5	4	4	£5,851,999	£515,112	£18,468	£533,580	-£2,268	£0	-£2,268	-0.43%	-0.04%	£0	-£2,268
The Farnley Academy		1,176	1	5	5	£5,909,849	£444,677	£18,126	£462,803	-£2,226	£0	-£2,226	-0.48%	-0.04%	£0	-£2,226
Woodkirk Academy		1,539	1	4	4	£7,280,858	£478,620	£16,872	£495,492	-£2,072	£0	-£2,072	-0.42%	-0.03%	£0	-£2,072
Temple Learning Academy		184	1	3	3	£876,678	£77,717	£16,758	£94,475	-£2,058	£0	-£2,058	-2.18%	-0.23%	£0	-£2,058
John Smeaton Academy	Υ	777	22	24	24	£4,731,197	£348,903	£329,046	£677,949	-£1,372	£0	-£1,372	-0.20%	-0.03%	£0	-£1,372
Crawshaw Academy		821	3	3	3	£4,104,652	£307,379	£10,944	£318,323	-£1,344	£0	-£1,344	-0.42%	-0.03%	£0	-£1,344
Bishop Young Church of England Academy		815	2	4	3	£4,663,390	£434,094	£10,260	£444,354	-£1,260	£0	-£1,260	-0.28%	-0.03%	£0	-£1,260
Bruntcliffe Academy		700	2	2	2	£3,687,103	£327,992	£7,524	£335,516	-£924	£0	-£924	-0.28%	-0.03%	£0	-£924
Horsforth School		1,132	1	0	0	£5,282,974	£309,379	£3,420	£312,799	-£420	£0	-£420	-0.13%	-0.01%	£0	-£420
Leeds Jewish Free School		93	1	1	1	£645,081	£34,803	£2,736	£37,539	-£336	£0	-£336	-0.90%	-0.05%	£0	-£336
Dixons Trinity Free School		30	0	1	1	£157,364	£3,776	£1,596	£5,372	-£196	£0	-£196	-3.65%	-0.12%	£0	-£196
UTC Leeds		173	0	0	0	£1,075,238	£65,224	£0	£65,224	£0	£0	£0	0.00%	0.00%	£0	£0
SECONDARY & ALL-THROUGH SCHOOL TOTALS	8	39,606	446	459	458	£208,798,686	£15,378,386	£3,614,571	£18,992,957	-£222,500	-£31,000	-£253,500	-1.33%	-0.12%	£0	-£253,500
MAINSTREAM TOTALS	18	106,273	2,082	1,949	1,985	£472,996,117	£41,154,869	£15,219,459	£56,374,328	-£1,352,594	-£492,000	-£1,844,594	-3.27%	-0.38%	£61,583	-£1,783,011

Notes

- 1) These are the number of Reception to Year 11 pupils funded through the mainstream school funding formula in the 2017/18 financial year.
- 2) Estimated Funding for High Needs pupils includes the following: Resourced Unit Place Led Funding, SEN top up funding guarantee for pupils in resourced units and SILCs), Early Years funding and the Additional Blocks of £6k funding.
- 3) Early Years figures are based on pupils allocated SEN top up funding in Summer 2017, Autumn 2017 (financial year 2017-18) and Spring 2017 (previous financial year). Figures are also based on all pupils accessing 15 hours (or 30 hours provision if known).
- 4) The Reception to Year 11 figures are based on high needs pupils in receipt of SEN funding in the 2017/18 financial year as recorded on the FFI database as at October 2017.
- 5) Under the funding regulations the additional blocks of £6k funding can only be removed from academies and free schools from the beginning of the 2018/19 academic year.
- 6) The school baselines used in the tables above are taken from the Local Authorities 2017-18 authority proforma tool (APT) return. The baseline figures for some academies and free schools may differ from those used in their 2017/18 general annual grant (GAG) statements.
- 7) These tables will be updated once the final high needs funding is known for 2017/18to calculate the impact of limiting losses to 1.5%.